Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Review Panel

Report on Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach

## **Appendix E: ATTACHMENTS**

- Attachment E1: CT Scans of Tube Samples
- Attachment E2: Oedometer Test Data
- Attachment E3: Direct Shear Test Data
- Attachment E4: Triaxial Test Data
- Attachment E5: Direct Simple Shear Test Data

Appendix E Attachment 1 CT Scans of Tube Samples



MR14-101 – Sa 1 Depth: 4.9 to 5.1 m / El. 928.1 to 927.9 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-101 – Sa 2 Depth: 5.6 to 5.8 m / El. 927.4 to 927.2 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-101 – Sa 3 Depth: 6.3 to 6.6 m / El. 926.7 to 926.4 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-101 – Sa 5 Depth: 14.6 to 15.1 m / El. 918.4 to 917.9 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL)



MR14-101 – Sa 6 Depth: 15.1 to 15.4 m / El. 917.9 to 917.6 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL)



MR14-101 – Sa 7 Depth: 16.1 to 16.3 m / El. 916.9 to 916.7 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL)





MR14-102 – Sa 1 Depth: 3.1 to 3.7 m / El. 929.4 to 928.8 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-102 – Sa 2 Depth: 3.7 to 4.1 m / El. 928.8 to 928.4 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-102 – Sa 3 Depth: 6.5 to 6.8 m / El. 926 to 925.7 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-102 – Sa 5 Depth: 9.1 to 9.7 m / El. 923.4 to 922.8 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-102 – Sa 6 Depth: 9.9 to 10.1 m / El. 922.6 to 922.4 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-103 – Sa 1 Depth: 3.3 to 4.0 m / El. 927.4 to 926.7 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-103 – Sa 2 Depth: 4.7 to 5.3 m / El. 926 to 925.4 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-103 – Sa 4 Depth: 6.9 to 7.5 m / El. 923.8 to 923.2 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-103 – Sa 5 Depth: 7.8 to 8.3 m / El. 922.9 to 922.4 m INFERRED POSSIBLE UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE WITH UPPER TILL INCLUSIONS



MR14-103 – Sa 6 Depth: 8.6 to 8.7 m / El. 922.1 to 922 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL)



MR14-104 – Sa 1 Depth: 3.6 to 4.1 m / El. 928.1 to 927.6 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-104 – Sa 2 Depth: 4.5 to 4.7 m / El. 927.2 to 927 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-104 – Sa 4 Depth: 6.0 to 6.5 m / El. 925.7 to 925.2 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-104 – Sa 5 Depth: 7.8 to 8.5 m / El. 923.9 to 923.2 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-104 – Sa 7 Depth: 10.6 to 11.2 m / El. 921.1 to 920.5 m INFERRED POSSIBLE UPPER GLACIOLUCUSTRINE WITHIN UPPER TILL



MR14-104 – Sa 8 Depth: 11.4 to 12.0 m / El. 920.3 to 919.7 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-104 – Sa 9 Depth: 12.2 to 12.7 m / El. 919.5 to 919 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-105 – Sa 2 Depth: 2.3 to 2.7 m / El. 929.4 to 929.0 m INFERRED UPPER TILL OR POSSIBLE STRIPPING MATERIAL



MR 14-105 – Sa 3 Depth: 3.0 to 3.7 m / El. 928.7 to 928.0 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-105 – Sa 4 Depth: 3.7 to 4.3 m / El. 927.9 to 927.3 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-105 – Sa 5 Depth: 4.9 to 5.3 m / El. 926.7 to 926.3 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-105 – Sa 7 Depth: 6.9 to 7.5 m / El. 924.7 to 924.1 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-105 – Sa 8 Depth: 7.9 to 8.5 m / El. 923.7 to 923.1 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-105 – Sa 9 Depth: 9.1 to 9.8 m / El. 922.5 to 921.9 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE WITHIN UPPER TILL



MR14-105 – Sa 10 Depth: 10.7 to 11.3 m / El. 920.9 to 920.3 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-106 – Sa 1 Depth: 3.0 to 3.7 m / El. 926.4 to 925.7 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-106 – Sa 2 Depth: 4.3 to 4.9 m / El. 925.0 to 924.4 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-106 – Sa 3 Depth: 5.2 to 5.4 m / El. 924.1 to 923.9 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-106 – Sa 4 Depth: 5.7 to 6.3 m / El. 923.6 to 923.0 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-106 – Sa 5 Depth: 6.6 to 7.3 m / El. 922.7 to 922.0 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-106 – Sa 7 Depth: 8.5 to 9.1 m / El. 920.8 to 920.2 m **INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL)** 



MR14-106 – Sa 8 Depth: 10.3 to 10.6 m / El. 919.0 to 918.7 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL OVER LOWER GLACIOLACUSTRINE) DSS TEST ATTEMPTED ON 8B BUT VOID PREVENTED TESTING



MR14-106A – Sa 1 Depth: 7.3 to 8.1 m / El. 921.4 to 920.6 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE



MR14-106C – Sa 1 Depth: 7.2 to 7.8 m / El. 921.3 to 920.7 m INFERRED GLACIOLACUSTRINE OVER LOWER TILL



MR14-106C – Sa 2 Depth: 7.8 to 8.4 m / El. 920.7 to 920.1 m INFERRED LOWER TILL



MR14-106D – Sa 1 Depth: 7.6 to 8.2 m / El. 921.1 to 920.5 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE – NOTE FOLDING



MR14-106D – Sa 2 Depth: 8.2 to 8.8 m / El. 920.5 to 919.9 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE



MR14-106E – Sa 1 Depth: 7.1 to 7.6 m / El. 921.9 to 921.4 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-106E – Sa 2 Depth: 7.6 to 8.2 m / El. 921.4 to 920.8 m INFERRED UPPER TILL OVER UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE



MR14-106E – Sa 3 Depth: 8.2 to 8.8 m / El. 920.8 to 920.2 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE



MR14-106E – Sa 4 Depth: 8.8 to 9.4 m / El. 920.2 to 919.6 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE TRANSITIONING TO LOWER TILLS

E AND THE A

MR14-106F – Sa 1 Depth: 7.0 to 7.6 m / El. 921.7 to 921.1 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-106F – Sa 2 Depth: 7.8 to 8.4 m / El. 920.9 to 920.3 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE



MR14-106F – Sa 3 Depth: 8.4 to 8.8 m / El. 920.3 to 919.9 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE OVER LOWER TILLS (BASAL)



MR14-106G – Sa 1 Depth: 7.0 to 7.6 m / El. 921.7 to 921. m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-106G – Sa 2 Depth: 7.6 to 8.2 m / El. 921.1 to 920.5 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE



MR14-106G – Sa 3 Depth: 8.2 to 8.6 m / El. 920.5 to 920.1 m INFERRED UPPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE



MR14-106H – Sa 1 Depth: 7.3 to 7.9 m / El. 921.3 to 920.7 m INFERRED UPPER TILL OVER UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE



MR14-106H – Sa 2 Depth: 7.9 to 8.5 m / El. 920.7 to 920.1 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE



MR14-106I – Sa 1 Depth: 7.3 to 7.9 m / El. 921.3 to 920.7 m INFERRED UPPER GLACIOLACUSTRINE - NOTE FOLDING



MR14-106I – Sa 2 Depth: 7.9 to 8.5 m / El. 920.7 to 920.1 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL)



MR14-107 – Sa 1 Depth: 2.7 to 3.1 m / El. 926.0 to 925.6 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-107 – Sa 4 Depth: 4.6 to 5.2 m / El. 924.1 to 923.5 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-107 – Sa 5 Depth: 6.1 to 6.7 m / El. 922.6 to 922 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-107 – Sa 6 Depth: 6.9 to 7.6 m / El. 921.8 to 921.1 m INFERRED UPPER TILL OVER UPPER GLU



MR14-107 – Sa 7 Depth: 7.9 to 8.5 m / El. 920.8 to 920.2 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL)



MR14-107A – Sa 1 Depth: 7.2 to 7.8 m / El. 921.1 to 920.5 m INFERRED UPPER GLU



MR14-107A – Sa 3 Depth: 9.3 to 9.9 m / El. 919.0 to 918.4 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL)



MR14-107A – Sa 4 Depth: 10.1 to 10.5 m / El. 918.2 to 917.8 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL OVER LOWER GLU)



MR14-107A – Sa 6 Depth: 11.4 to 11.7 m / El. 916.9 to 916.6 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL OVER LOWER GLU)



MR14-107A – Sa 7 Depth: 11.9 to 12.1 m / El. 916.4 to 916.2 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (LOWER GLU)



MR14-107A – Sa 8 Depth: 12.3 to 12.9 / El. 916.0 to 915.4 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (LOWER GLU)



MR14-107B – Sa 1 Depth: 6.6 to 7.3 m / El. 921.8 to 921.1 m **INFERRED UPPER TILL OVER UPPER GLU** 



MR14-108 – Sa 1 Depth: 1.5 to 2.0 m / El. 927.1 to 926.6 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-108 – Sa 2 Depth: 2.7 to 3.4 m / El. 925.9 to 925.2 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-108 – Sa 3 Depth: 3.5 to 3.9 m / El. 925.1 to 924.7 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-108 – Sa 7 Depth: 4.6 to 5.2 m / El. 924.0 to 923.4 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-108 – Sa 4 Depth: 5.5 to 6.1 m / El. 923.1 to 922.5 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-108 – Sa 5 Depth: 6.4 to 7.0 m / El. 922.2 to 921.6 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-108A – Sa 6 Depth: 11.4 to 11.8 m / El. 917.2 to 916.8 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (LOWER GLU)



MR14-109 – Sa 1 Depth: 1.2 to 1.8 m / El. 927.8 to 927.2 m INFERRED UPPER TILL THIS SAMPLE TO BE EXTRUDED OCT 28 FOR COMPARISON/CALIBRATION TO CT SCAN RESULTS CT SCAN PROVIDES ACCURATE REPRESENTATION OF SOIL FABRIC AND GRAVEL PARTICLES



MR14-109 – Sa 2 Depth: 2.4 to 3.0 m / El. 926.6 to 926.0 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-109 – Sa 3 Depth: 3.4 to 4.0 m / El. 925.6 to 925.0 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-109 – Sa 4 Depth: 4.6 to 5.1 m / El. 924.4 to 923.9 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-109 – Sa 5 Depth: 6.4 to 6.7 m / El. 922.6 to 922.3 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-109 – Sa 6 Depth: 12.2 to 12.6 m / El. 916.8 to 916.4 m INFERRED LOWER TILL (LOWER GLU)



MR14-110 – Sa 1 Depth: 2.1 to 2.5 m / El. 926.6 to 926.2 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-110 – Sa 2 Depth: 3.0 to 3.7 m / El. 925.7 to 925 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-110 – Sa 4 Depth: 4.6 to 5.2 m / El. 924.1 to 923.5 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-110 – Sa 5 Depth: 5.5 to 5.9 m / El. 923.2 to 922.8 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-110 – Sa 6 Depth: 12.2 to 12.6 m / El. 916.5 to 916.1 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (LOWER GLU)



MR14-111 – Sa 1 Depth: 1.6 to 2.2 m / El. 927.0 to 926.4 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-111 - Sa 3 Depth: 3.7 to 4.3 m / El. 924.9 to 924.3 m INFERRED UPPER TILL – WITH UPPER GLU ZONE



MR14-111 – Sa 5 Depth: 5.5 to 6.1 m / El. 923.1 to 922.8 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-112 – Sa 1 Depth: 1.5 to 2.0 m / El. 927.4 to 926.9 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-112 – Sa 4 Depth: 4.3 to 4.9 m / El. 924.6 to 924.0 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-113 – Sa 1 Depth: 1.2 to 1.8 m / El. 928.2 to 927.6 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-113 – Sa 2 Depth: 3.7 to 4.1 m / El. 925.7 to 925.3 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-113 – Sa 3 Depth: 4.9 to 5.5 m / El. 924.5 to 923.9 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-113 – Sa 4 Depth: 6.1 to 6.7 m / El. 923.3 to 922.7 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-113 – Sa 5 Depth: 7.3 to 7.5 m / El. 922.1 to 921.9 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-113 – Sa 6 Depth: 9.0 to 9.4 m / El. 920.4 to 920 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-114 – Sa 1 Depth: 2.4 to 3.0 m / El. 928.2 to 927.6 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-114 – Sa 2 Depth 3.7 to 4.3 m / El. 926.9 to 926.3 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-114 – Sa 3 Depth 4.6 to 5.2 m / El. 926.0 to 925.4 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-114 – Sa 5 Depth 6.4 to 7.0 m / El. 924.2 to 923.6 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-115 – Sa 1 Depth: 1.5 to 2.1 m / El. 927.6 to 927.0 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-115 – Sa 3 Depth 3.5 to 4.1 m / El. 925.6 to 925.0 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-115 – Sa 4 Depth 4.3 to 4.9 m / El. 924.8 to 924.2 m INFERRED UPPER TILL



MR14-117 – Sa 1 Depth: 11.9 to 12.5 m / El. 920.7 to 920.1 m INFERRED UPPER TILL OVER UPPER GLU



MR14-117 – Sa 2 Depth: 12.5 to 13.1 m / El. 920.1 to 919.5 m INFERRED UPPER GLU OVER LOWER TILLS



MR14-117 – Sa 3 Depth: 13.4 to 14.0 m / El. 919.2 to 918.6 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL)



MR14-117A – Sa 1 Depth: 11.9 to 12.5 m / El. 920.7 to 920.1 m **INFERRED UPPER TILL OVER UPPER GLU** 



MR14-117A – Sa 2 Depth: 12.5 to 13.1 m / El. 920.7 to 920.1 m INFERRED UPPER GLU OVER LOWER TILLS



MR14-118 – Sa 1 Depth: 14.1 to 14.7 m / El. 923.6 to 923.0 m INFERRED UPPER TILL WITH POSSIBLE UPPER GLU ZONE



MR14-118 – Sa 5 Depth: 16.8 to 17.4 m / El. 920.9 to 920.3 m INFERRED UPPER GLU



MR14-118 – Sa 6 Depth: 17.4 to 18.0 m / El. 920.3 to 919.7 m INFERRED LOWER TILLS (BASAL TILL) Appendix E Attachment 2 Oedometer Test Data

Sample	Depth (m)	Elevation (m)	Unit	W/C (%)	PI	eo	Estimted average σ' <sub>p</sub> (kPa)*	Cs	Cc	Cα	C <sub>α</sub> /Cc
14-105-Sa4C	4.1	927.6	Upper Till	12	11	0.439	203	0.010	0.13	0.003	0.02
14-111-Sa5B	5.9	922.7	Upper Till	11	6	0.388	194	0.007	0.05	0.0012	0.02
14-113-Sa1B	1.3	928.1	Upper Till	24	13	0.737	76	0.023	0.20	0.003	0.02
14-113-Sa4A	6.3	923.1	Upper Till	11	7	0.382	380	0.007	0.08	0.0011	0.01
	7.0				20	4.004	45.4	0.40074	0.50	0.000.47	0.00
14-106C-Sa1C	7.6	920.9	Upper GLU	41	38	1.234	454	0.128/1	0.56	0.00947	0.02
14-106E-Sa2B	7.9	921.1	Upper GLU	35	24/29	1.068	4/4	0.06081	0.44	0.00755	0.02
14-106E-Sa2C	8.2	920.8	Upper GLU	26	24/29	0.775	300	0.030	0.23	0.00546	0.02
14-106E-Sa4B	9.0	920.0	Upper GLU	48	41	1.432	535	0.13319	0.61	0.01195	0.02
14-106E-Sa4D	9.2	919.7	Upper GLU / Lower Tills Transition Zone	25	19	0.780	446	0.039	0.16	0.0037	0.02
14-106F-Sa3B	8.5	920.2	Upper GLU	43	41	1.333	443	0.130	0.67	0.01635	0.02
14-106G-Sa3A	8.4	920.3	Upper GLU	43	34	1.286	510	0.15805	0.71	0.01146	0.02
14-106H-Sa1B	7.5	921.1	Upper GLU	41	36	1.212	449	0.079	0.68	0.01243	0.02
14-107-Sa6C-1	7.1	921.6	Upper GLU	35	23	1.058	330	0.015	0.29	0.006	0.02
14-107A-Sa1B	7.3	920.9	Upper GLU	40	25	1.129	516	IP	0.43	0.00988	0.02
14-107B-Sa1B	6.9	921.5	Upper GLU	33	29	1.109	312	0.065	0.34	0.008	0.02
14-105-Sa10B	11.2	920.5	Lower Tills (basal till)	13	8	0.448	331	0.012	0.11	0.0015	0.01
14-107A-Sa6B	11.6	916.7	Lower Till (lower GLU)	22	15	0.653	701	0.02303	0.12	0.00152	0.01
14-110-Sa6B	12.4	916.3	Lower Till (lower GLU)	17	13	0.587	794	0.017	0.08	0.0008	0.01
14-113-Sa6C	9.4	920.0	Lower Tills (basal till)	19	11	0.546	355	0.018	0.07	0.0019	0.03

\* Estimated preconsoldiation pressure is an average of Casagrande, Bi-logarithmic and Work Method values.

Comments
Questionable whether specimen is on NCL at end of loading
Swelling occurred at beginning of test, results questionable Eurther examination of data
required.
Questionable whether specimen is on NCL at end
of loading




## Oedometer Preconsolidation Stress & Effective Vertical Overburden Stress Below Dam Crest for Different Stages

# Summary of cv Values from Panel Oedometer Testing

Coefficient of Consolidation, c <sub>v</sub> (cm <sup>2</sup> /sec) - from Oedometer Tests												
	14-105-Sa4C	14-111-Sa5B	14-113-Sa1B	14-113-Sa4A	14-106C-Sa1C	14-106E-Sa2B	14-106E-Sa2C	14-106E-Sa4B	14-106E-Sa4D	14-106F-Sa3B		
Vertical Stress (kPa)	Upper Till	Upper Till	Upper Till	Upper Till	Upper GLU	Upper GLU	Upper GLU	Upper GLU	Upper GLU / Lower Tills Transition Zone	Upper GLU		
12.5	6.54E-03	3.64E-04	7.60E-03	6.28E-04	1.08E-02	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC		
25	3.47E-02	3.10E-04	3.47E-04	8.82E-04	1.09E-04	2.59E-02	1.05E-03	1.79E-03	4.84E-03	3.68E-03		
50	1.99E-02	5.57E-04	1.96E-03	7.83E-04	1.18E-03	1.96E-03	1.51E-03	2.78E-03	1.96E-03	3.19E-03		
100	3.24E-02	1.32E-03	2.95E-03	1.03E-03	9.98E-04	4.16E-03	6.23E-03	2.17E-03	4.69E-02	5.17E-03		
200	2.70E-02	1.48E-03	1.33E-03	1.64E-03	1.56E-03	4.12E-03	2.70E-03	2.38E-03	2.92E-02	3.49E-03		
400	1.57E-02	2.74E-03	1.01E-03	1.88E-03	1.07E-03	2.27E-03	2.83E-03	1.58E-03	2.87E-02	1.15E-03		
800	1.61E-02	3.65E-03	8.19E-04	6.21E-03	2.46E-04	9.53E-04	7.86E-04	4.86E-04	5.29E-03	5.18E-04		
1600	6.13E-03	6.18E-03	8.52E-04	9.93E-03	3.68E-04	8.32E-04	1.35E-03	2.22E-04	6.48E-03	3.86E-04		
3200	3.44E-03	8.17E-03	N/A	7.56E-03	4.28E-04	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

	Coefficient of Consolidation, c <sub>v</sub> (cm <sup>2</sup> /sec) - from Oedometer Tests													
Vertical Stress	14-106G-3A	14-106H-Sa1B	14-107-Sa6C-1	14-107A-Sa1B	14-107B-Sa1B	14-105-Sa10B	14-113-Sa6C	14-107A-Sa6B	14-110-Sa6B					
(kPa)	Lipper GLU	Lipper CLU	Lipper CLU	Lipper GLU	Lipper CLU	Lower Tills	Lower Tills	Lower Tills	Lower Tills					
(Ki a)		Opper GLO	Opper GLO		opper GLO	(Basal Till)	(Basal Till)	(Lower GLU)	(Lower GLU)					
12.5	1.11E-02	NC	2.48E-04	1.40E-02	3.67E-04	1.26E-03	2.45E-03	5.76E-03	1.21E-04					
25	1.24E-03	3.81E-02	5.59E-04	1.13E-03	N/A	2.64E-03	5.70E-03	2.47E-03	3.43E-03					
50	2.26E-03	3.81E-02	6.43E-04	6.73E-04	3.67E-04	8.85E-03	8.90E-03	4.68E-03	1.19E-02					
100	2.81E-03	3.78E-02	6.45E-04	7.05E-04	4.75E-04	1.04E-02	3.89E-03	2.65E-03	6.32E-03					
200	2.88E-03	1.93E-02	8.19E-04	7.86E-04	4.25E-04	1.29E-02	1.24E-02	3.53E-03	7.43E-03					
400	2.48E-03	1.27E-02	6.07E-04	7.55E-04	4.01E-04	1.10E-02	3.79E-03	5.11E-03	5.79E-03					
800	4.50E-04	7.35E-04	5.47E-04	2.61E-04	3.68E-04	7.51E-03	6.96E-03	5.89E-03	8.42E-03					
1600	1.57E-03	9.43E-04	7.49E-04	2.86E-04	6.04E-04	7.24E-03	8.65E-03	4.61E-03	1.06E-02					
3200	5.40E-04	1.98E-03	9.10E-04	3.00E-04	6.94E-04	4.20E-03	N/A	5.37E-03	9.25E-03					

<u>Notes:</u> N/A - No load increment, c<sub>v</sub> not calculated.

NC - Negligible consolidation,  $c_{\nu}$  not calculated

Coefficient of Consolidation, c <sub>v</sub> (cm <sup>2</sup> /sec) - from Triaxial Tests														
Confining Stress (kPa)	14-111-Sa5A	14-105-Sa4	14-113-Sa1	14-106 Sa2	14-106E Sa3B	14-106E Sa3C	14-106E Sa2C	MR14-106C Sa1B	14-106F Sa 2B	14-106F Sa 2B	14-106F Sa 2B	MR14-106G Sa2B	MR14-106G Sa2B	MR14-106G Sa2B
50						1.68E-03			8.10E-04			3.47E-03		
75							3.64E-03							
100						6.40E-04			1.11E-03	3.58E-04		2.55E-03	1.29E-03	
150							2.62E-03		1.44E-03	N/A		2.86E-03		
200	5.55E-04	1.93E-03	2.10E-04	3.63E-04	2.62E-03					2.63E-03	1.72E-03		9.08E-04	1.76E-03
300						4.62E-04							9.18E-04	
400											6.20E-03			1.00E-03
600	4.94E-04	8.51E-04	1.44E-04	7.42E-04	2.06E-04						1.24E-03			5.97E-04

Averaç	ge cv (cm2/sec) fr	om Oedometer T	ests	Min. cv	(cm2/sec) from Oed	ometer Tests	Max. cv (cm2/sec) from Oedometer Tests			
Vertical Stress (kPa)	Upper Till	Upper GLU	Lower Tills	Upper Till	Upper GLU	Lower Tills	Upper Till	Upper GLU	Lower Tills	
12.5	1.83E-03	1.94E-03	1.21E-03	3.64E-04	2.48E-04	1.21E-04	7.60E-03	1.40E-02	5.76E-03	
25	1.35E-03	3.09E-03	3.36E-03	3.10E-04	5.59E-04	2.47E-03	3.47E-02	3.81E-02	5.70E-03	
50	2.03E-03	1.93E-03	8.14E-03	5.57E-04	3.67E-04	4.68E-03	1.99E-02	3.81E-02	1.19E-02	
100	3.38E-03	3.54E-03	5.10E-03	1.03E-03	4.75E-04	2.65E-03	3.24E-02	4.69E-02	1.04E-02	
200	3.05E-03	2.89E-03	8.06E-03	1.33E-03	4.25E-04	3.53E-03	2.70E-02	2.92E-02	1.29E-02	
400	3.01E-03	2.13E-03	5.93E-03	1.01E-03	4.01E-04	3.79E-03	1.57E-02	2.87E-02	1.10E-02	
800	4.16E-03	6.67E-04	7.14E-03	8.19E-04	2.61E-04	5.89E-03	1.61E-02	5.29E-03	8.42E-03	
1600	4.23E-03	8.09E-04	7.44E-03	8.52E-04	2.22E-04	4.61E-03	9.93E-03	6.48E-03	1.06E-02	
3200	5.97E-03	7.27E-04	5.93E-03	3.44E-03	3.00E-04	4.20E-03	8.17E-03	1.98E-03	9.25E-03	
Average	3E-03	2E-03	6E-03							

 
 Avg for Vert Stress < 400 kPa</td>

 Avg for Vert Stress > 400 kPa
3E-03 7E-04







Mount Polley Review Par	MR14-105, Sa. 4C, 4.1 m / El. 927.5 m						
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	orage Fa	cility Breach		Repor	t Date: Dec.	12, 201	4
File Number: 15-3-280				Test Dat	es: Nov. 3 -	11, 201	4
Initial Water Content =	11.7	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	0.439	LL =	25	%
Final Water Content =	13.2	%	Gs =	2.78	PL =	14	%
					PI =	11	%





Mount Polley Review Pa	nel		MR14-111, Sa. 5B, 5.9 m / El. 922.7						
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	orage Fa	cility Breach		Report	t Date: Dec.	12, 201	4		
File Number: 15-3-280	-	-	Τe	est Dates: C	Oct. 29 - Nov	. 5, 201	4		
Initial Water Content =	10.8	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	0.388	LL =	21			
Final Water Content =	10.0	%	Gs =	2.78	PL =	15			
					PI =	6			

Void Ratio (end of load increment) Vs Log of Pressure

% % %





Mount Polley Review Pa	Mount Polley Review Panel				MR14-113, Sa. 1B, 1.3 m / El. 928.1 m						
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	orage Fa	cility Breach		Repor	t Date: Dec.	12, 201	4				
File Number: 15-3-280				Test Da	tes: Nov. 4 -	12, 201	4				
Initial Water Content =	23.5	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	0.737	LL =	27	%				
Final Water Content =	19.6	%	Gs =	2.78	PL =	14	%				
					PI =	13	%				





Mount Polley Review Pane	MR14-113, Sa. 4A, 6.3 m / El. 923.1 m										
Mount Polley Tailings Stor	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach				Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014						
File Number: 15-3-280	Test Dates: Oct. 29 - Nov. 5, 2014										
Initial Water Content =	10.8 %	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	0.382	LL =	21	%					
Final Water Content =	12.4 %	Gs =	2.78	PL =	14	%					
				PI =	7	%					





Mount Polley Review Pa	Mount Polley Review Panel				MR14-106C, Sa. 1C, 7.6 m / El. 921.0 m						
Mount Polley Tailings St	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach				Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014						
File Number: 15-3-280	File Number: 15-3-280				Test Dates: Nov. 17 - 24, 2014						
Initial Water Content =	41.2	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	1.234	LL =	62	%				
Final Water Content =	33.3	%	Gs =	2.83	PL =	24	%				
					PI =	38	%				





Mount Polley Review Par	MR14-106E, Sa. 2B, 7.9 m / El. 921.1 m										
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach				Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014						
File Number: 15-3280				Test Da	ates: Dec. 1	- 7, 201	4				
Initial Water Content =	35.2	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	1.068	LL =	55	%				
Final Water Content =	32.9	%	Gs =	2.86	PL =	22	%				
					PI =	33	%				





Mount Polley Review Par	MR14-106E, Sa. 2C, 8.2 m / El. 920.8 m										
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach				Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014						
File Number: 15-3-280	-	-		Test Date	s: Nov. 18 -	25, 201	4				
Initial Water Content =	25.5	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	0.775	LL =	42	%				
Final Water Content =	21.7	%	Gs =	2.86	PL =	18	%				
					PI =	24	%				





Mount Polley Review Pa	Mount Polley Review Panel					MR14-106E, Sa. 4B, 9.0 m / El. 920.0 m						
Mount Polley Tailings St	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach					Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014						
File Number: 15-3-280	File Number: 15-3-280				Test Dates: Nov. 27 -Dec. 5, 2014							
Initial Water Content =	48.4	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	1.432	LL =	63	%					
Final Water Content =	46.9	%	Gs =	2.86	PL =	22	%					
					PI =	41	%					





Mount Polley Review Par	nel		MR14-2	106E, Sa. 4	D, 9.2 m / El	. 919.7	m
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014						
File Number: 15-3280	Test Dates: Nov. 19 - 25, 2014						
Initial Water Content =	24.7	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	0.780	LL =	41	%
Final Water Content =	26.6	%	Gs =	2.86	PL =	22	%
					PI =	19	%





Mount Polley Review Pa	nel		MR14-1	106F, Sa. 3	B, 8.5 m / El	. 920.2	m	
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	orage Fa	cility Breach		Report	Date: Dec.	12, 201	4	
File Number: 15-3280	File Number: 15-3280			Test Dates: Nov. 19 - 26				
Initial Water Content =	43.4	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	1.333	LL =	64	%	
Final Water Content =	43.3	%	Gs =	2.86	PL =	23	%	
					PI =	41	%	





Mount Polley Review Pa	nel		MR14-1	06G, Sa. 3	A, 8.3 m / El	. 920.4	m		
Mount Polley Tailings St	orage Fa	cility Breach	Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014						
File Number: 15-3-280	File Number: 15-3-280			Test Dates: Nov. 21 - 28, 20					
Initial Water Content =	42.6	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	1.286	LL =	57	%		
Final Water Content =	38.6	%	Gs =	2.86	PL =	23	%		
					PI =	24	%		





Mount Polley Review Pa	nel		MR14-1	106H, Sa. 1	B, 7.5 m / El	. 921.1	m
Mount Polley Tailings Ste	Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014						
File Number: 15-3-280		26 , 201	4				
Initial Water Content =	40.8	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	1.212	LL =	56	%
Final Water Content =	100.5	%	Gs =	2.86	PL =	20	%
					PI =	36	%





Mount Polley Review Pa	nel		MR14	-107, Sa. 6	C, 7.1 m / El	. 921.6	m
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	orage Fa	cility Breach		Repor	t Date: Dec.	12, 201	4
File Number: 15-3-280	-	-		Test Dat	es: Nov. 5 -	13, 201	4
Initial Water Content =	34.8	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	1.058	LL =	47	
Final Water Content =	27.3	%	Gs =	2.86	PL =	24	(
					PI =	23	

Void Ratio (end of load increment) Vs Log of Pressure

% % %





Mount Polley Review Pa	nel		MR14-	107A, Sa. 1	B, 7.3 m / El	. 920.9	m
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	orage Fa	cility Breach		Report	t Date: Dec.	12, 201	4
File Number: 15-3-280	-	-		Test Da	ates: Dec. 1	- 8, 201	4
Initial Water Content =	39.6	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	1.215	LL =	46	%
Final Water Content =	33.8	%	Gs =	2.86	PL =	21	%
					PI =	25	%





Mount Polley Review Pa	nel		MR14-	107B, Sa. 1	B, 6.9 m / El	. 921.5	m
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	orage Fa	cility Breach		Rep	ort Date: De	c 1, 20 <sup>-</sup>	14
File Number: 15-3-280				20, 20	20, 2014		
Initial Water Content =	32.5	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	1.109	LL =	49	%
Final Water Content =	28.5	%	Gs =	2.86	PL =	20	%
					PI =	29	%





Mount Polley Review Par	nel		MR14-1	05, Sa. 10E	8, 11.2 m / El	. 920.5	m		
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014								
File Number: 15-3280				Test Dates: Nov. 4 to 11, 2					
Initial Water Content =	12.9	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	0.448	LL =	21	%		
Final Water Content =	10.8	%	Gs =	2.78	PL =	13	%		
					PI =	8	%		





Mount Polley Review Par	nel		MR14	-113, Sa. 6	C, 9.4 m / El	. 920.0	m
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	orage Fa	cility Breach		Repor	t Date: Dec.	12, 201	4
File Number: 15-3280	-	-		Test Dat	es: Nov. 4 -	11, 201	4
Initial Water Content =	18.7	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	0.546	LL =	28	(
Final Water Content =	17.5	%	Gs =	2.78	PL =	17	
					PI =	11	

Void Ratio (end of load increment) Vs Log of Pressure

% % %





Mount Polley Review Par	nel		MR14-10	)7A, Sa. 6l	3, 11.6 m / El.	916.7	m
Mount Polley Tailings Sto	Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014						
File Number: 15-3-280	Test Dates: Nov. 25 - 29, 2014						
Initial Water Content =	22.0	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	0.653	LL =	40	%
Final Water Content =	21.8	%	Gs =	2.78	PL =	25	%
					PI =	15	%





Mount	Polley Review Par	nel		MR14-	110, Sa. 6E	3, 12.4 m / El	. 916.3	m		
Mount	Polley Tailings Sto	orage Fa	cility Breach	Report Date: Dec. 12, 2014						
File Nu	File Number: 15-3-280				Test Dates: Nov. 5 - 10					
Initial \	Water Content =	17.2	%	<b>e</b> <sub>0</sub> =	0.587	LL =	36	%		
Final V	Vater Content =	20.4	%	Gs =	2.78	PL =	23	%		
						PI =	13	%		



Appendix E Attachment 3 Direct Shear Test Data



#### DIRECT SHEAR TEST REPORT DS 14-1 MR14-106H, Sa.1B, 7.4 m / El. 921.2 m 300 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Report Date: Nov. 28, 2014 File Number: 15-3-280

## SAMPLE DESCRIPTION CLAY (CH), grey, stiff, thinly bedded

	Start of Test		After Consolidation
	01 1000		Conconductori
Wet Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,838		1,881
Dry Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,325		1,384
Moisture Content:	38.2%		35.9%
Void Ratio:	1.076		0.987
Saturation:	99.0%		100%
Specific Gravity (assumed):		2.75	

AFTER TES	T NOTES
	RESIDUAL
NORMAL	SHEAR
STRESS	STRESS
(kPa)	(kPa)
300	87
150	56
450	130

Moisture content taken from center of specimen = 31.2%

There was a large amount of extruded silty, clay between the shearbox halves.

The top cap was level and there was no misalignment of the shearbox halves.

The specimen did not separate along the shear surface.



## DIRECT SHEAR TEST REPORT DS 14-1



Mount Polley Review PanelSample: MR14-106H, Sa.1B, 7.4 m / El. 921.2 mMount Polley Tailings Storage Facility BreachNormal Stress = 300 kPaFile Number: 15-3-280Test Dates: Nov. 18 - 26, 2014



## DIRECT SHEAR TEST REPORT DS 14-1



Mount Polley Review PanelSample: MR14-106H, Sa.1B, 7.4 m / El. 921.2 mMount Polley Tailings Storage Facility BreachNormal Stress = 150 kPaFile Number: 15-3-280Test Dates: Nov. 18 - 26, 2014



DIRECT SHEAR TEST REPORT DS 14-1



Mount Polley Review PanelSample: MR14-106H, Sa.1B, 7.4 m / El. 921.2 mMount Polley Tailings Storage Facility BreachNormal Stress = 450 kPaFile Number: 15-3-280Test Dates: Nov. 18 - 26, 2014



## DIRECT SHEAR TEST REPORT DS 14-1 MR14-106H, Sa.1B, 7.4 m / El. 921.2 m Normal Stress = 150, 300, 450 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Report Date: Nov. 28, 2014 File Number: 15-3-280



## PHOTO OF SHEAR PLANE AFTER TEST

## TEST MACHINE

Wykeham Farrance direct shear test apparatus with a 60 mm diameter round shear box. Vertical and horizontal strains were measured by ellectonic displacement transducers. The shear stress was measured with an electronic load cell. The normal force was applied by dead weights on a

## TEST PROCEDURE

- The test specimen was manually trimmed from a Shelby tube sample.
- The shear plane was pre-cut with a knife prior to placement in the shearbox.

- The specimen was consolidated in two stages to the required normal stress. First to 100 kPa and then to 300 kPa.

- The first four cycles of horizontal displacement (0 57 mm) were run at .12 mm/min.
- The final two cycles (57 mm) were run at .024 mm/min.
- The specimen was unloaded to a normal stress of 150 kPa.
- Four cycles were run at .12 mm/min and two at .024 mm/min.
- The specimen was loaded to a normal stress of 450 kPa.
- Four cycles were run at .12 mm/min and two at .024 mm/min.



## DIRECT SHEAR TEST REPORT DS 14-1 MR14-106H, Sa.1B, 7.4 m / El. 921.2 m Normal Stress = 150, 300, 450 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Report Date: Nov. 28, 2014 File Number: 15-3-280





# **Direct Shear Test Results**

Client: Mt. Polley Review Panel Project: Mt. Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Job No.: 15-3-280 Sample: MR14-106H, Sa.1B 7.4 m / El. 921.2 m Date: Nov. 28, 2014

Silty CLAY (CL), thinly bedded, stiff, medium plasticity

Residual Strength Parameters: c' = 0 kPa F' = 16.4°

Residual Strength



Remarks: The direct shear specimen was trimmed from a 73 mm diameter Shelby tube sample. A shear plane was pre-cut through the specimen before it was set up in a direct shear machine. The first stage of shearing was run at a normal stress of 300 kPa. The second stage at 150 kPa and the third at 450 kPa





Appendix E Attachment 4 Triaxial Test Data

# Summary of Panel Triaxial Test Data

Sample	Depth (m)	Elevation (m)	Soil Unit	W/C (%)	PI	σ' <sub>3c</sub> (kPa)	Maximum Deviator Stress (σ' <sub>1</sub> - σ' <sub>3</sub> ) (kPa)	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	Excess Pore Pressure at Failure (kPa)	
14-111-Sa5A	5.7	922.7	Upper Till	13	8	600	890	445	265	
14-105-Sa4	3.9	927.8	Upper Till	16	14	600	575	288	367	(
14-113-Sa1C	1.4	928.0	Upper Till	20	13	600	448	224	408	
14-106 Sa2	4.8	923.9	Upper Till	12	10	600	639	320	343	
14-106E Sa2C	8.0	921.0	Upper GLU	35	29	150	185	93	88	
14-106E Sa3C	8.8	920.2	Upper GLU	40	33	300	242	121	183	
14-106E Sa3B	8.4	920.6	Upper GLU	40	35	600	367	184	364	
14-106C-Sa1B	7.5	921.0	Upper GLU	39	30	75	106	53	51	
14-106G Sa 2B	7.8	920.9	Upper GLU	38	21	150	170	85	100	
14-106G Sa 2B	8.0	920.7	Upper GLU	34	21	303	270	135	200	
14-106G Sa 2B	8.0	920.7	Upper GLU	39	21	594	350	175	350	
14-106F Sa 2B	8.0	920.8	Upper GLU	30	41	149	186	93	110	
14-106F Sa 2B	8.1	920.7	Upper GLU	42	41	303	244	122	180	
14-106F Sa 2B	8.3	920.5	Upper GLU	38	41	599	N/A	N/A	900	ł

Sample	Soil Unit	σ' <sub>3f</sub> (kPa)	σ' <sub>1f</sub> (kPa)	p = (σ' <sub>1</sub> + σ' <sub>3</sub> )/2 (kPa)	q =(ơ' <sub>1</sub> - ơ' <sub>3</sub> )/2 (kPa)	Friction Angle (degrees)*	q/ơ'1	Mohr-Coulomb Envelope	
								Cohesion (kPa)	Friction Angle (degrees)
14-111-Sa5A	Upper Till	335	1225	780	445	35°	0.36	N/A	N/A
14-105-Sa4	Upper Till	233	808	521	288	34 <sup>°</sup>	0.36	N/A	N/A
14-113-Sa1C	Upper Till	192	640	416	224	33°	0.35	N/A	N/A
14-106 Sa2	Upper Till	257	896	577	320	34 <sup>°</sup>	0.36	N/A	N/A
14-106E Sa2C	Upper GLU	62	247	155	93	37°	0.37		20°
14-106E Sa3C	Upper GLU	117	359	238	121	31 <sup>°</sup>	0.34	30	
14-106E Sa3B	Upper GLU	236	603	420	184	26°	0.30		
14-106C-Sa1B	Upper GLU	24	130	77	53	43°	0.41		
14-106G Sa 2B	Upper GLU	50	220	130	85	41 <sup>°</sup>	0.39	33	
14-106G Sa 2B	Upper GLU	103	373	270	135	30°	0.36		21 <sup>°</sup>
14-106G Sa 2B	Upper GLU	244	594	360	175	29 <sup>°</sup>	0.29		
14-106F Sa 2B	Upper GLU	39	225	130	93	46°	0.41	N/A	N/A
14-106F Sa 2B	Upper GLU	123	367	270	122	27°	0.33		
14-106F Sa 2B	Upper GLU	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

\*For the purpose of estimating the peak friction angle of this specimen the cohesion was assumed equal to zero.

Notes
First specimen compromised during testing, unable to complete test.
lssues with
hackpressure system
during test.

Summary of Triaxial Test Results - Upper GLU



Summary of Triaxial Test Data for Upper GLU



p (kPa)

q (kPa)


#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-2B MR14-105, Sa. 4B, 3.9 m / El. 927.7 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Report Date: Nov. 23, 2014 File Number: 15-3-280 Test Dates: Nov. 15 - 21, 2014

#### Index Testing Data

Gravel:	10.2%	Liquid Limit:	28
Sand:	41.3%	Plastic Limit:	14
Silt:	31.3%	Plasticity Index:	14
Clay:	17.2%	Sp. Gr. (assumed):	2.78

Clayey SAND (SC), trace to some gravel, low plastic fines

#### Specimen Data

	As Set Up	As Tested
Wet Density (kg/cu.m.):	2,217	2,285
Dry Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,919	2,008
Moisture Content:	15.5%	13.8%
Void Ratio:	0.447	0.383
Saturation:	96%	100%
Pore Press. Parameter B:	0.50	0.98

Stress/Strain Data

	Maximum Stress Ratio	Maximum Deviator Stress
Axial Strain (%):	4.14	19.67
Stress Ratio:	3.70	3.44
Deviator Stress (kPa):	495	575
Change in Pore Pressure (kPa):	418	367
Effective Confining Pressure (kPa):	184	235
Pore Pressure Parameter A:	0.84	0.64
Rate of Displacement (%/hour):	0.83	0.83



- 2 -

## CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-2B MR14-105, Sa. 4B, 3.9 m / El. 927.7 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa

## **Original Sample**

The original Shelby tube sample was a 530 mm long by 73 mm diameter. The specimen was trimmed at 70 - 245 mm from the top of the sample.

#### Test Specimen

The ends of the sample were manually trimmed. The length of the test specimen was 155.08 mm and the diameter was 72.09 mm.

### Test Apparatus

The specimen was mounted in a triaxial pressure chamber with 73 mm diameter end platens. Porous stone and filter paper disks were placed on both ends of the specimen. Filter paper strips were placed around the perimeter of the specimen to act as side drains. The specimen was enveloped in one latex membrane.

#### Test Procedure

An initial cell pressure of 100 kPa resulted in a pore pressure response of 66 kPa (B=0.66). The cell pressure and back pressure were increased in a series of 100 kPa increments until B=0.98 (at 550 kPa cell pressure). This indicated that the specimen was saturated. The specimen was consolidated in two stages to the required effective confining pressure of 600 kPa. During the first stage the sample was consolidated to an effective confining pressure of 200 kPa. For the second stage the sample was consolidated to the required effective confining pressure of 600 kPa. The back pressure valve was closed and axial loading was carried out until the deviator stress reached a peak and dropped off.

#### After Test

At the end of the test, no obvious shear plane was observed within the specimen. The specimen was weighed and samples were taken for moisture content, Atterberg limits and hydrometer tests.





Mount Polley Review Panel

Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach File Number: 15-3-280 Nov. 15 - 21, 2014 MR14-105, Sa. 4B, 3.9 m / El. 927.7 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa





## CU12-2B @ 600 kPa Before Test





## CU12-2B @ 600 kPa After Test





#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-4 MR14-106, Sa. 2, 4.8 m / El. 924.6 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Report Date: Nov. 27, 2014 File Number: 15-3-280 Test Dates: Nov. 17 - 27, 2014

#### Index Testing Data

Gravel:	11.2%	Liquid Limit:	22
Sand:	36.2%	Plastic Limit:	12
Silt:	34.2%	Plasticity Index:	10
Clay:	18.4%	Sp. Gr.:	2.77

sandy, silty CLAY (CL), brown, moist, firm to stiff, some gravel to 15 mm dia.

#### Specimen Data

	As Set Up	As Tested
Wet Density (kg/cu.m.):	2,312	2,374
Dry Density (kg/cu.m.):	2,062	2,151
Moisture Content:	12.1%	10.4%
Void Ratio:	0.344	0.288
Saturation:	98%	100%
Pore Press. Parameter B:	0.88	0.99

Stress/Strain Data

	Maximum Stress Ratio	Maximum Deviator Stress
Axial Strain (%):	4.48	21.16
Stress Ratio:	3.56	3.43
Deviator Stress (kPa):	543	639
Change in Pore Pressure (kPa):	389	343
Effective Confining Pressure (kPa):	212	263
Pore Pressure Parameter A:	0.72	0.54
Rate of Displacement (%/hour):	0.77	0.77



#### -2-IAXIAL COMPR

#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-4 MR14-106, Sa. 2, 4.8 m / El. 924.6 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa

## Original Sample

The original Shelby tube sample was 540 mm long by 73 mm in diameter. The specimen was trimmed at 340 - 520 mm from the top of the sample.

## Test Specimen

The triaxial specimen was manually trimmed to a length of 160.13 mm and a diameter of 71.71 mm.

## Test Apparatus

The specimen was mounted in a triaxial pressure chamber with 73 mm diameter end platens. Porous stone and filter paper disks were placed on both ends of the specimen. Filter paper strips were placed around the perimeter of the specimen to act as side drains. The specimen was enveloped in one latex membrane.

### Test Procedure

An initial cell pressure of 100 kPa resulted in a pore pressure response of 74 kPa (B=0.73). The cell pressure and back pressure were increased in a series of 100 kPa increments until B=0.98 (at 450 kPa cell pressure). This indicated that the specimen was saturated. The specimen was consolidated in two stages to the required effective confining pressure of 600 kPa. During the first stage the sample was consolidated to an effective confining pressure of 200 kPa. During the second stage of consolidation to 600 kPa the cell pressure system failed and the cell pressure began dropping while the back pressure remained constant. The problem was detected just as the cell pressure became equal with the back pressure. The cell and back pressure valves were closed to isolate the sample while repairs were made to the cell pressure system. The cell pressure was increased in increments to the level it was at before the problem occured. The specimen was then consolidated to the required effective confining pressure of 600 kPa. The back pressure valve was closed and axial loading was carried out until the deviator stress had levelled out.

## After Test

At the end of the test the specimen had bulged in the middle with no visible shear plane. The specimen was weighed and samples were taken for moisture content, Atterberg limits and hydrometer tests.



CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-4

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach File Number: 15-3-280 Nov. 17 - 27, 2014 MR14-106, Sa. 2, 4.8 m / El. 924.6 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa





## CU14-4 @ 600 kPa Before Test





CU14-4 @ 600 kPa After Test





#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-1 MR14-111, Sa. 5A, 5.7 m / El. 922.8 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Report Date: Nov 10, 2014 File Number: 15-3-280 Test Dates: Oct. 31 - Nov 7, 2014

#### Index Testing Data

Gravel:	12.4%	Liquid Limit:	22
Sand:	34.6%	Plastic Limit:	14
Silt:	39.8%	Plasticity Index:	8
Clay:	13.2%	Sp. Gr. (assumed):	2.78

sandy, silty CLAY (CL), grey, moist, stiff, some gravel to 12 mm dia.

#### Specimen Data

	As Set Up	As Tested
Wet Density (kg/cu.m.):	2,258	2,351
Dry Density (kg/cu.m.):	2,003	2,111
Moisture Content:	12.9%	11.4%
Void Ratio:	0.388	0.316
Saturation:	92%	100%
Pore Press. Parameter B:	0.88	0.99

### Stress/Strain Data

	Maximum Stress Ratio	Maximum Deviator Stress
Axial Strain (%):	5.00	15.41
Stress Ratio:	3.84	3.64
Deviator Stress (kPa):	662	890
Change in Pore Pressure (kPa):	365	265
Effective Confining Pressure (kPa):	233	338
Pore Pressure Parameter A:	0.55	0.30
Rate of Displacement (%/hour):	0.47	0.47



- 2 -

## CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-1 MR14-111, Sa. 5A, 5.7 m / El. 922.8 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa

## **Original Sample**

The original Shelby tube sample was a 530 mm long by 73 mm diameter. The specimen was trimmed at 150 - 320 mm from the top of the sample.

#### Test Specimen

The ends of the sample were manually trimmed. The length of the test specimen was 138.17 mm and the diameter was 72.30 mm.

#### Test Apparatus

The specimen was mounted in a triaxial pressure chamber with 73 mm diameter end platens. Porous stone and filter paper disks were placed on both ends of the specimen. Filter paper strips were placed around the perimeter of the specimen to act as side drains. The specimen was enveloped in one latex membrane.

#### Test Procedure

An initial cell pressure of 100 kPa resulted in a pore pressure response of 87 kPa (B=0.88). The cell pressure and back pressure were increased in a series of 100 kPa increments until B=0.98 (at 400 kPa cell pressure). This indicated that the specimen was saturated. The specimen was consolidated in two stages to the required effective confining pressure of 600 kPa. During the first stage the sample was consolidated to an effective confining pressure of 200 kPa. For the second stage the sample was consolidated to the required effective confining pressure of 600 kPa. The back pressure valve was closed and axial loading was carried out until the deviator stress reached a peak and dropped off.

### After Test

At the end of the test, no obvious shear plane was observed within the specimen. The specimen was weighed and samples were taken for moisture content, Atterberg limits, and hydometer tests.



\_\_\_\_\_

CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-1

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach File Number: 15-3-280 Oct. 31 - Nov 7, 2014 MR14-111, Sa. 5A, 5.7 m / El. 922.8 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa





## CU14-1 @ 600 kPa Before Test



10/31/2014



CU14-1 @ 600 kPa After Test







#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-3 MR14-113, Sa. 1C, 1.4 m / El. 928.0 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Report Date: Nov. 17, 2014 File Number: 15-3-280 Test Dates: Nov. 8 - 17, 2014

#### Index Testing Data

Gravel:	6.5%	Liquid Limit:	27
Sand:	33.0%	Plastic Limit:	14
Silt:	40.6%	Plasticity Index:	13
Clay:	19.9%	Sp. Gr. (assumed):	2.78

sandy, silty CLAY (CL), grey, moist, stiff, some gravel to 12 mm dia.

#### Specimen Data

	As Set Up	As Tested
Wet Density (kg/cu.m.):	2,145	2,263
Moisture Content:	20.0%	1,974
Void Ratio	0.554	0 407
Saturation:	100%	100%
Pore Press. Parameter B:	0.50	0.98

Stress/Strain Data

	Maximum Stress Ratio	Maximum Deviator Stress
Axial Strain (%):	6.82	11.0
Stress Ratio:	3.36	3.34
Deviator Stress (kPa):	444	448
Change in Pore Pressure (kPa):	407	408
Effective Confining Pressure (kPa):	189	191
Pore Pressure Parameter A:	0.92	0.91
Rate of Displacement (%/hour):	0.26	0.26



#### - 2 -

### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-3 MR14-113, Sa. 1C, 1.4 m / El. 928.0 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa

## **Original Sample**

The original Shelby tube sample was a 530 mm long by 73 mm diameter. The specimen was trimmed at 130 - 320 mm from the top of the sample.

#### Test Specimen

The ends of the sample were manually trimmed. The length of the test specimen was 148.76 mm and the diameter was 72.03 mm.

#### Test Apparatus

The specimen was mounted in a triaxial pressure chamber with 73 mm diameter end platens. Porous stone and filter paper disks were placed on both ends of the specimen. Filter paper strips were placed around the perimeter of the specimen to act as side drains. The specimen was enveloped in one latex rubber membrane.

#### Test Procedure

An initial cell pressure of 100 kPa resulted in a pore pressure response of 92 kPa (B=0.92). The cell pressure and back pressure were increased in a series of 100 kPa increments until B=0.98 (at 400 kPa cell pressure). This indicated that the specimen was saturated. The specimen was consolidated in two stages to the required effective confining pressure of 600 kPa. During the first stage the sample was consolidated to an effective confining pressure of 200 kPa. For the second stage the sample was consolidated to the required effective confining pressure of 600 kPa. The back pressure valve was closed and axial loading was carried out until the deviator stress reached a peak and dropped off.

#### <u>After Test</u>

At the end of the test, no obvious shear plane was observed within the specimen. The specimen was weighed and samples were taken for moisture content, Atterberg limits, hydrometer and specific gravity tests.



Mount Polley Review Panel

Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach File Number: 15-3-280 Nov. 8 - 17, 2014 MR14-113, Sa. 1C, 1.4 m / El. 928.0 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa





## CU14-3 @ 600 kPa Before Test





CU14-3 @ 600 kPa After Test





#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-7 MR14-106E, Sa. 2C, 8.0 m / El. 921.0 m Effective Confining Pressure = 150 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Report Date: Dec. 9, 2014 File Number: 15-3-280 Test Dates: Dec. 1 - 8, 2014

#### Index Testing Data

Gravel:	0.0%	Liquid Limit:	50
Sand:	0.5%	Plastic Limit:	21
Silt:	48.2%	Plasticity Index:	29
Clay:	51.3%	Sp. Gr. (assumed):	2.86

silty CLAY (CH), bown, thinly bedded, high plasticity

#### Specimen Data

	As Set Up	As Tested
Wet Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,876	1,919
Dry Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,386	1,413
Moisture Content:	35.4%	35.8%
Void Ratio:	1.064	1.024
Saturation:	95%	100%
Pore Press. Parameter B:	0.55	0.97

Stress/Strain Data

	Maximum Stress Ratio	Maximum Deviator Stress
Axial Strain (%):	1.34	1.55
Stress Ratio:	3.98	3.97
Deviator Stress (kPa):	184	185
Change in Pore Pressure (kPa):	89	88
Effective Confining Pressure (kPa):	61	62
Pore Pressure Parameter A:	0.48	0.48
Rate of Displacement (%/hour):	0.36	0.36



#### - 2 -CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-7 MR14-106E, Sa. 2C, 8.0 m / El. 921.0 m Effective Confining Pressure = 150 kPa

## **Original Sample**

The original Shelby tube sample was 600 mm long by 73 mm in diameter.

### Test Specimen

The triaxial specimen was taken from the lower half of the Shelby tube sample. It was manually trimmed to a length of 147.78 mm and a diameter of 71.64 mm.

## Test Apparatus

The specimen was mounted in a triaxial pressure chamber with 73 mm diameter end platens. Porous stone and filter paper disks were placed on both ends of the specimen. Filter paper strips were placed around the perimeter of the specimen to act as side drains. The specimen was enveloped in one latex membrane.

### Test Procedure

An initial cell pressure of 100 kPa resulted in a pore pressure response of 55 kPa (B=0.55). The cell pressure and back pressure were increased in a series of 100 kPa increments until B=0.98 (at 400 kPa cell pressure). This indicated that the specimen was saturated. The specimen was consolidated in two stages to the required effective confining pressure of 150 kPa. During the first stage the sample was consolidated to an effective confining pressure of 75 kPa. For the second stage the sample was consolidated to the required effective confining pressure of 150 kPa. The back pressure valve was closed and axial loading was carried out until the deviator stress reached a peak and dropped off.

### After Test

At the end of the test a two shear planes at an angle of 60<sup>°</sup> crossed each other in the middle of the specimen. The specimen was weighed and samples were taken for moisture content, hydrometer and Atterberg limits.



Dec. 1 - 8, 2014

Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach MR14-106E, Sa. 2C, 8.0 m / El. 921.0 m File Number: 15-3-280

Effective Confining Pressure = 150 kPa



Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach

File Number: 15-3-280

Dec. 1 - 8, 2014 MR14-106E, Sa. 2C, 8.0 m / El. 921.0 m Effective Confining Pressure = 150 kPa





## CU14-7 @ 150 kPa Before Test





# CU14-7 @ 150 kPa After Test





#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-6 MR14-106E, Sa. 3C, 8.8 m / El. 920.2 m Effective Confining Pressure = 300 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel		Re	eport Date: Dec. 9, 2014
Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach			File Number: 15-3-280
		Test Dates	: Nov. 27 - Dec. 7, 2014
	Index Test	ing Data	
Gravel:	0.0%	Liquid Limit:	55
Sand:	0.0%	Plastic Limit:	22
Silt:	42.4%	Plasticity Index:	33
Clay:	57.6%	Sp. Gr.:	2.87
silty CLAY (0	CH), brown, thinly	bedded, medium pl	asticity

### Specimen Data

	As Set Up	As Tested
Wet Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,841	1,896
Dry Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,314	1,375
Moisture Content:	40.1%	37.9%
Void Ratio:	1.185	1.088
Saturation:	97%	100%
Pore Press. Parameter B:	0.88	0.99

#### Stress/Strain Data

	Maximum Stress Ratio	Maximum Deviator Stress
Axial Strain (%):	1.71	1.71
Stress Ratio:	3.07	3.07
Deviator Stress (kPa):	242	242
Change in Pore Pressure (kPa):	183	183
Effective Confining Pressure (kPa):	117	117
Pore Pressure Parameter A:	0.76	0.76
Rate of Displacement (%/hour):	0.37	0.37



#### - 2 -CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-6 MR14-106E, Sa. 3C, 8.8 m / El. 920.2 m Effective Confining Pressure = 300 kPa

## **Original Sample**

The original Shelby tube sample was 600 mm long by 73 mm in diameter.

### Test Specimen

The triaxial specimen was taken from the upper half of the Shelby tube sample. It was manually trimmed to a length of 152.71 mm and a diameter of 71.29 mm.

### Test Apparatus

The specimen was mounted in a triaxial pressure chamber with 73 mm diameter end platens. Porous stone and filter paper disks were placed on both ends of the specimen. Filter paper strips were placed around the perimeter of the specimen to act as side drains. The specimen was enveloped in one latex membrane.

#### Test Procedure

An initial cell pressure of 100 kPa resulted in a pore pressure response of 74 kPa (B=0.76). The cell pressure and back pressure were increased in a series of 100 kPa increments until B=1.00 (at 500 kPa cell pressure). This indicated that the specimen was saturated. The specimen was consolidated in three stages to the required effective confining pressure of 300 kPa. During the first stage the sample was consolidated to an effective confining pressure of 50 kPa. For the second stage the sample was consolidated to an effective confining pressure of 100 kPa. For the second stage the sample was consolidated to an effective confining pressure of 100 kPa. For the deviator stress reached a peak and dropped off.

#### After Test

At the end of the test a shear plane at an angle of 60<sup>°</sup> had developed in the lower half of the specimen. The specimen was weighed and samples were taken for moisture content, hydrometer and Atterberg limits.

Mount Polley Review Panel

Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach MR14-106E, Sa. 3C, 8.8 m / El. 920.2 m File Number: 15-3-280

Nov. 27 - Dec. 7, 2014 Effective Confining Pressure = 300 kPa



Mount Polley Review Panel

Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach File Number: 15-3-280

Nov. 27 - Dec. 7, 2014 MR14-106E, Sa. 3C, 8.8 m / El. 920.2 m Effective Confining Pressure = 300 kPa





## CU14-6 @ 300 kPa Before Test





CU14-6 @ 300 kPa After Test




CU14-6 @ 300 kPa After Test





#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-5 MR14-106E, Sa. 3B, 8.4 m / El. 920.5 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Report Date: Dec. 9, 2014 File Number: 15-3-280 Test Dates: Nov. 21 - 29, 2014

### Index Testing Data

Gravel:	0.0%	Liquid Limit:	55
Sand:	1.0%	Plastic Limit:	20
Silt:	40.6%	Plasticity Index:	35
Clay:	58.4%	Sp. Gr.:	2.86

silty CLAY (CH), bown, thinly bedded, medium plasticity

#### Specimen Data

	As Set Up	As Tested
Wet Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,846	1,908
Dry Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,318	1,396
Moisture Content:	40.1%	36.6%
Void Ratio:	1.170	1.048
Saturation:	98%	100%
Pore Press. Parameter B:	0.88	0.99

Stress/Strain Data

	Maximum Stress Ratio	Maximum Deviator Stress
Axial Strain (%):	6.91	1.75
Stress Ratio:	3.09	2.55
Deviator Stress (kPa):	330	367
Change in Pore Pressure (kPa):	445	364
Effective Confining Pressure (kPa):	158	238
Pore Pressure Parameter A:	1.35	0.99
Rate of Displacement (%/hour):	0.37	0.37



### - 2 -CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-5 MR14-106E, Sa. 3B, 8.4 m / El. 920.5 m Effective Confining Pressure = 600 kPa

### **Original Sample**

The original Shelby tube sample was 600 mm long by 73 mm in diameter.

### Test Specimen

The triaxial specimen was taken near the bottom of the Shelby tube sample. It was manually trimmed to a length of 155.37 mm and a diameter of 71.71 mm.

### Test Apparatus

The specimen was mounted in a triaxial pressure chamber with 73 mm diameter end platens. Porous stone and filter paper disks were placed on both ends of the specimen. Filter paper strips were placed around the perimeter of the specimen to act as side drains. The specimen was enveloped in one latex membrane.

#### Test Procedure

The inital application of cell pressure resulted in B=1.00 indicating that the specimen was saturated. An attempt was made to consolidate the specimen to an effective confining pressure of 50kPa. It became clear within a few minutes that there was a leak between the cell and the back pressure. The apparatus was dismantled, fittings were tightened and a second membrane was placed over the specimen. Application of a cell pressure of 100 kPa resulted in a pore pressure response of 82 kPa (B=0.84). The cell pressure and back pressure were increased in a series of 100 kPa increments until B=0.97 (at 400 kPa cell pressure). This indicated that the specimen was saturated. The specimen was consolidated in two stages to the required effective confining pressure of 600 kPa. During the first stage the sample was consolidated to an effective confining pressure of 200 kPa. For the second stage the sample was consolidated to the required effective confining pressure of 600 kPa. The back pressure valve was closed and axial loading was carried out until shear planes were visible in the specimen.

### After Test

At the end of the test two "V" shaped shear planes had formed at an angle of 60<sup>°</sup> to the horizontal. The shear planes met in the center of the specimen. The specimen was weighed and moisture content, Atterberg limits, hydrometer and specific gravity samples were taken.



Mount Polley Review Panel

Nov. 21 - 29, 2014

Mount Polley Review Panel

Nov. 21 - 29, 2014 MR14-106E, Sa. 3B, 8.4 m / El. 920.5 m

Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach File Number: 15-3-280







# CU14-5 @ 600 kPa Before Test







CU14-5 @ 600 kPa After Test





# CU14-5 @ 600 kPa After Test



Тор



Middle



Bottom



#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-8 MR14-106C, Sa. 1B, 7.5 m / El. 921.0 m Effective Confining Pressure = 75 kPa

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach Report Date: Dec. 22, 2014 File Number: 15-3-280 Test Dates: Dec. 16 - 21, 2014

### Index Testing Data

Gravel:	0.0%	Liquid Limit:	50
Sand:	0.2%	Plastic Limit:	20
Silt:	46.9%	Plasticity Index:	30
Clay:	52.9%	Sp. Gr. (assumed):	2.86

silty CLAY (CH), brown, thinly bedded, high plasticity

#### Specimen Data

	As Set Up	As Tested
Wet Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,850	1,883
Dry Density (kg/cu.m.):	1,335	1,358
Moisture Content:	38.6%	38.7%
Void Ratio:	1.142	1.106
Saturation:	97%	100%
Pore Press. Parameter B:	0.55	0.97

Stress/Strain Data

	Maximum Stress Ratio	Maximum Deviator Stress
Axial Strain (%):	0.82	0.82
Stress Ratio:	5.53	5.53
Deviator Stress (kPa):	106	106
Change in Pore Pressure (kPa):	51	51
Effective Confining Pressure (kPa):	23	23
Pore Pressure Parameter A:	0.48	0.48
Rate of Displacement (%/hour):	0.26	0.26



### - 2 -CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT CU 14-8 MR14-106C, Sa. 1B, 7.5 m / El. 921.0 m Effective Confining Pressure = 75 kPa

## **Original Sample**

The original sample was a section of a 73 mm diameter Shelby tube sample. It was 180 mm long.

### Test Specimen

The triaxial specimen was prepared by trimming the ends of the Shelby tube sample. The triaxial specimen was 155.35 mm long by 72.10 mm in diameter.

## Test Apparatus

The specimen was mounted in a triaxial pressure chamber with 73 mm diameter end platens. Porous stone and filter paper disks were placed on both ends of the specimen. Filter paper strips were placed around the perimeter of the specimen to act as side drains. The specimen was enveloped in one latex membrane.

### Test Procedure

An initial cell pressure of 100 kPa resulted in a pore pressure response of 71 kPa (B=0.72). The cell pressure and back pressure were increased in a series of 100 kPa increments until B=0.97 (at 500 kPa cell pressure). This indicated that the specimen was saturated. The specimen was consolidated in one stage to the required effective confining pressure of 75 kPa. The back pressure valve was closed and axial loading was carried out until the deviator stress reached a peak and dropped off.

### After Test

At the end of the test a two shear planes at an angle of 55<sup>0</sup> crossed each other in the middle of the specimen. The specimen was weighed and samples were taken for moisture content, hydrometer and Atterberg limits.



Mount Polley Review PanelDec. 16 - 21, 2014Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility BreachMR14-106C, Sa. 1B, 7.5 m / El. 921.0 mFile Number: 15-3-280Effective Confining Pressure = 75 kPa





# CU14-8 @ 75 kPa Before Test





# CU14-8 @ 75 kPa After Test



#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach File Number: 15-3-280 Nov. 21 to Dec. 21, 2014 MR14-106E, Sa. 3B, 3C, 2C and MR14-106C, Sa. 1B



#### CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST REPORT

Mount Polley Review Panel Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach File Number: 15-3-280 Nov. 21 to Dec. 21, 2014 MR14-106E, Sa. 3B, 3C, 2C and MR14-106C, Sa. 1B



4

Geosciences



(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### Consolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Test (ASTM D 4767)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent E	Expert Engineering Inve	stigation and Review Panel	Project No.:	14-MTS-021
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Stora	ge Facility, BC	Date:	December 4, 20	14
Borehole:	MR14-106F		Station:	CU	
Sample No.:	SA 2B		Depth (m):	7.98	
	Specimen Data		C	onsolidation	
Diameter (mm	)	71.80	Specific Gravity, Gs:		2.85
Height (mm) 146.60		146.60	Initial vertical effective stream	ss, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	12.3
Weight of container + sample (g) 1110.07		Final vertical effective stres	ss, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	150.8	
Weight of container (g)		0	Initial effective isotropic stre	ess, σ'₃ (kPa)	12.3
Total Unit Weight (kN/m³)		18.35	Final effective isotropic stre	Final effective isotropic stress, $\sigma'_3$ (kPa)	
Dry Unit Weigł	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m3) 14.11		Pore Pressure (kPa)		553.9
	Water Content				
	Before Saturation	After Shear	Volume change during con	solidation, $\Delta V_{c}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> )	11.61
Tin No.	64	C06	Initial height of specimen (	cm)	14.66
Weight of tin (	g) 33.37	142.16	Initial area of specimen (cn	n <sup>2</sup> )	40.49
Tin + Wet weig	ght (g) 86.82	1255.7	Initial volume of specimen	(cm <sup>3</sup> )	593.53
Tin + Dry weig	ht (g) 74.48	976.65	Initial void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>		0.98
Water Content	t (%) 30.0	33.4	Final void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>		0.92
	Saturation		Shear		
Vertical Seatin	ig Pressure (kPa)	13.8	Initial vertical effective stream	ss, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	176.4
Cell Pressure,	σ <sub>3</sub> (kPa)	565.4	Initial Isotropic effective str	ess, σ'₃ (kPa)	50.0
Back Pressure	e (kPa)	551.6	Initial Pore Pressure (kPa)		663.7
Effective Stres	ss (kPa)	13.8	Strain rate (%/hr)		0.4
Pore pressure	coefficient, B	0.99			







Comments / Observations: assumed Gs of 2.85 as requested by Thurber

Performed By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	December 4, 2014	Date:	December 4, 2014	Date:	December 5, 2014



4

Geosciences



(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### Consolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Test (ASTM D 4767)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Review Panel Projec			Project No.:	14-MTS-021
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Stora	ge Facility, BC	Date:	December 4, 20	14
Borehole:	MR14-106F		Station:	CU	
Sample No.:	SA 2B		Depth (m):	8.13	
	Specimen Data		C	onsolidation	
Diameter (mm	1)	72.12	Specific Gravity, Gs:		2.85
Height (mm)		149.04	Initial vertical effective stres	ss, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	17.3
Weight of container + sample (g) 1113.07		Final vertical effective stres	s, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	303.5	
Weight of container (g) 0		0	Initial effective isotropic stre	ess, σ'₃ (kPa)	17.3
Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ) 17.94		17.94	Final effective isotropic stre	Final effective isotropic stress, σ' <sub>3</sub> (kPa)	
Dry Unit Weig	ht (kN/m3)	12.67	Pore Pressure (kPa) 54		548.0
	Water Content				
	Before Saturation	After Shear	Volume change during con	solidation, $\Delta V_c$ (cm <sup>3</sup> )	19.26
Tin No.	25	C06	Initial height of specimen (o	cm)	14.90
Weight of tin (	g) 34.65	206.46	Initial area of specimen (cm	n <sup>2</sup> )	40.85
Tin + Wet wei	ght (g) 52.34	1311.77	Initial volume of specimen	(cm <sup>3</sup> )	608.82
Tin + Dry weig	ght (g) 47.15	1021.7	Initial void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>		1.21
Water Conten	t (%) 41.5	35.6	Final void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>		1.13
	Saturation		Shear		
Vertical Seatir	ng Pressure (kPa)	13.8	Initial vertical effective stres	ss, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	319.8
Cell Pressure,	, σ <sub>3</sub> (kPa)	565.4	Initial Isotropic effective stre	ess, σ'₃ (kPa)	226.5
Back Pressure	e (kPa)	551.6	Initial Pore Pressure (kPa)		668.1
Effective Stres	ss (kPa)	13.8	Strain rate (%/hr)		0.4
Pore pressure	e coefficient, B	0.99			



Comments / Observations: assumed Gs of 2.85 as requested by Thurber

Performed By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	December 4, 2014	Date:	December 4, 2014	Date:	December 5, 2014



Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	December 4, 2014	Date:	December 4, 2014	Date:	December 5, 2014

4

Geosciences



(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### Consolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Test (ASTM D 4767)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent E	Expert Engineering Invest	igation and Review Panel	Project No.:	14-MTS-021
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storag	ge Facility, BC	Date:	December 4, 20	14
Borehole:	MR14-106F		Station:	CU	
Sample No.:	SA 2B		Depth (m):	8.28	
	Specimen Data	Co	onsolidation		
Diameter (mm)	)	71.54	Specific Gravity, Gs:		2.85
Height (mm)		147.41	Initial vertical effective stres	s, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	14.5
Weight of container + sample (g)		1110.75	Final vertical effective stress	s, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	613.4
Weight of container (g)		0	Initial effective isotropic stre	ss, σ'₃ (kPa)	14.5
Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )		18.39	Final effective isotropic stress, σ' <sub>3</sub> (kPa)		599.1
Dry Unit Weight (kN/m3)		13.33	Pore Pressure (kPa)		551.3
	Water Content				
	Before Saturation	After Shear	Volume change during cons	solidation, $\Delta V_{c}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> )	21.16
Tin No.	94	1	Initial height of specimen (c	m)	14.74
Weight of tin (g	g) 32.47	149.57	Initial area of specimen (cm	<sup>2</sup> )	40.20
Tin + Wet weig	ght (g) 61.55	1219.02	Initial volume of specimen (	cm <sup>3</sup> )	592.60
Tin + Dry weig	ht (g) 53.55	944.03	Initial void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>		1.10
Water Content	38.0	34.6	Final void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>		1.02
	Saturation			Shear	
Vertical Seatin	g Pressure (kPa)	13.8	Initial vertical effective stres	s, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	626.9
Cell Pressure,	σ <sub>3</sub> (kPa)	565.4	Initial Isotropic effective stre	ess, σ'₃ (kPa)	613.7
Back Pressure	e (kPa)	551.6	Initial Pore Pressure (kPa)		557.8
Effective Stres	s (kPa)	13.8	Strain rate (%/hr)		0.4
Pore pressure	coefficient, B	1.00			





Comments / Observations: assumed Gs of 2.85 as requested by Thurber

Performed By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	December 4, 2014	Date:	December 4, 2014	Date:	December 5, 2014



Comments: Sample consolidated in three stages (200kPa, 400kPa and 600kPa) Backpressure pumped maxed out overnight at 200 kPa. When the pump was drained,

the stress jumped to 360kPa. Test had to be stopped early to avoid bending loading piston

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:
Date:	December 4, 2014	Date:	December 4, 2014	Date:



(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### Geosciences

#### Consolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Test (ASTM D 4767)

Project: Mou	nt Polley Independer	nt Expert Engineering	vestigation and Review Panel Project No.: 14-MTS-021					
Location: Mount F	Polley Tailings Stora	ge Facility, BC	Date: November 20, 20	14				
Borehole: MR14-1	106G		Station: CU					
Sample No.: SA 2B			Depth (m): 7.77					
	Specimen Data		Consolidation	Consolidation				
Diameter (mm)		72.83	Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85				
Height (mm)		157.60	Initial vertical effective stress, σ'1 (kPa)	21.0				
Weight of container + s	ample (g)	1257.22	Final vertical effective stress, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	149.8				
Weight of container (g)		0	Initial effective isotropic stress, $\sigma'_3$ (kPa)	21.0				
Total Unit Weight (kN/m	n <sup>3</sup> )	18.79	Final effective isotropic stress, σ'3 (kPa)	150.0				
Dry Unit Weight (kN/m3	3)	13.60	Pore Pressure (kPa)	552.4				
	Water Content							
	Before Saturation	After Shear	Volume change during consolidation, $\Delta V_c$ (cm <sup>3</sup> )	10.54				
Tin No.	18	H2	Initial height of specimen (cm)	15.76				
Weight of tin (g)	34.17	197.54	Initial area of specimen (cm <sup>2</sup> )	41.66				
Tin + Wet weight (g)	108.53	457.73	Initial volume of specimen (cm <sup>3</sup> )	656.47				
Tin + Dry weight (g)	87.98	386.98	Initial void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>	1.06				
Water Content (%)	38.2	37.3	Final void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>	1.00				
	Saturation		Shear	Shear				
Vertical Seating Pressu	ıre (kPa)	13.8	Initial vertical effective stress, $\sigma'_1$ (kPa)	150.0				
Cell Pressure, $\sigma_3$ (kPa)		565.4	Initial Isotropic effective stress, $\sigma'_3$ (kPa)	150.0				
Back Pressure (kPa)		551.6	Initial Pore Pressure (kPa)	552.4				
Effective Stress (kPa)		13.8	Strain rate (%/hr)	0.4				
Pore pressure coefficie	nt, B	1.00						



Performed By: MF Checked By: PS Approved By: JPS Date: November 20, 2014 Date: November 20, 2014 Date: November 21, 201						
Date: November 20, 2014 Date: November 20, 2014 Date: November 21, 201	Performed By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
	Date:	November 20, 2014	Date:	November 20, 2014	Date:	November 21, 2014

**MEG TECHNICAL SERVICES** Marine + Earth (A Division of MEG Consulting Limited) Consolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Test Geosciences (ASTM D 4767) Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Review Panel 14-MTS-021 Project No .: Project: Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC November 20, 2014 Location: Date: MR14-106G 7.77 Borehole Depth (m): SA 2B Sample No.: Initial Height (mm): 157.6 Initial Water Content (%): 38.19 Final Water Content (%): 37.35 Initial Diameter (mm): 72.8 Initial Total Unit Weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>): 18.79 Final Total Unit Weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>): 19.7 Weight of Specimen (g): 1257.22 13.60 Initial Dry Unit Weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>): Final Dry Unit Weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>): 14.4 Final Void Ratio, ei: Specific Gravity, Gs: 2.85 Initial Void Ratio, ei: 1.06 1.00 100 90 Shear Phase
 Isotropic Consolidatio 80 70



ы

Geosciences



(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### Consolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Test (ASTM D 4767)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent	Expert Engineering Inv	stigation and Review Panel Project No.: 14-MTS-021				
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Stora	ge Facility, BC	Date:	Date: November 21, 2014			
Borehole:	MR14-106G		Station:	Station: CU			
Sample No.:	SA 2B		Depth (m): 7.97				
	Specimen Data		C	Consolidation			
Diameter (mm)	)	35.66	Specific Gravity, Gs:		2.85		
Height (mm)		76.70	Initial vertical effective stres	ss, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	17.6		
Weight of conta	ainer + sample (g)	140.7	Final vertical effective stres	s, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	310.0		
Weight of conta	ainer (g)	0	Initial effective isotropic stre	ess, σ'₃ (kPa)	17.6		
Total Unit Weig	ght (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	18.02	Final effective isotropic stre	302.7			
Dry Unit Weigh	nt (kN/m3)	13.46	Pore Pressure (kPa)	Pore Pressure (kPa) 54			
	Water Content						
	Before Saturation	After Shear	Volume change during con	solidation, $\Delta V_c$ (cm <sup>3</sup> )	2.31		
Tin No.	29	C106	Initial height of specimen (o	cm)	7.67		
Weight of tin (g	g) 34.78	150.51	Initial area of specimen (cm	1 <sup>2</sup> )	9.99		
Tin + Wet weig	ht (g) 92.63	290.54	Initial volume of specimen	(cm <sup>3</sup> )	76.61		
Tin + Dry weig	ht (g) 77.99	254.35	Initial void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>		1.08		
Water Content	(%) 33.9	34.9	Final void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>		1.01		
	Saturation			Shear			
Vertical Seatin	g Pressure (kPa)	13.8	Initial vertical effective stres	0.0			
Cell Pressure,	σ <sub>3</sub> (kPa)	565.4	Initial Isotropic effective stre	Initial Isotropic effective stress, $\sigma'_3$ (kPa) 0.4			
Back Pressure	(kPa)	551.6	Initial Pore Pressure (kPa)		0.0		
Effective Stres	s (kPa)	13.8	Strain rate (%/hr)		0.4		
Pore pressure	coefficient, B	1.00					



Comments / Obs	ments / Observations: Test was stopped at 14.4% strain to avoid damaging the equipment								
assumed Gs of 2.85 as requested by Thurber									
Performed By:	MF		Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JI	PS		
Date:	November 2	21, 2014	Date:	November 21, 2014	Date:	Novembe	er 22, 2014		



Comments: Test was stopped at 14.4% strain to avoid damaging the equipment Sample consolidated in three stages (100kPa, 200kPa and 300kPa)

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	November 21, 2014	Date:	November 21, 2014	Date:	November 22, 2014

ы

Geosciences



(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### Consolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Test (ASTM D 4767)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent I	Expert Engineering Ir	vestigation and Review Panel Project No.: 14-MTS-021					
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Stora	ge Facility, BC	Date:	Date: November 24, 2014				
Borehole:	MR14-106G		Station:	Station: Station 4				
Sample No.:	Sa2B		Depth (m):	8.04				
	Specimen Data		Co	Consolidation				
Diameter (mm	)	35.65	Specific Gravity, Gs:		2.85			
Height (mm)		84.33	Initial vertical effective stress	s, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	14.2			
Weight of con	tainer + sample (g)	152.4	Final vertical effective stress	s, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)	622.3			
Weight of cont	tainer (g)	0	Initial effective isotropic stream	Initial effective isotropic stress, $\sigma'_3$ (kPa) 14				
Total Unit Wei	ght (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	17.76	Final effective isotropic stres	Final effective isotropic stress, $\sigma'_3$ (kPa)				
Dry Unit Weig	ht (kN/m3)	12.82	Pore Pressure (kPa)		586.0			
	Water Content							
	Before Saturation	After Shear	Volume change during cons	olidation, $\Delta V_{c}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> )	5.80			
Tin No.	34	H2	Initial height of specimen (cr	n)	8.43			
Weight of tin (	g) 35.35	197.54	Initial area of specimen (cm <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> )	9.98			
Tin + Wet weig	ght (g) 89.57	280.99	Initial volume of specimen (o	cm <sup>3</sup> )	84.19			
Tin + Dry weig	ht (g) 74.5	257.85	Initial void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>		1.18			
Water Conten	t (%) 38.5	38.4	Final void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>	Final void ratio, e <sub>i</sub>				
	Saturation			Shear				
Vertical Seatin	ng Pressure (kPa)	13.8	Initial vertical effective stress	Initial vertical effective stress, σ' <sub>1</sub> (kPa)				
Cell Pressure,	σ <sub>3</sub> (kPa)	565.4	Initial Isotropic effective stre	ss, σ'₃ (kPa)	532.1			
Back Pressure	e (kPa)	551.6	Initial Pore Pressure (kPa)		612.9			
Effective Stres	ss (kPa)	13.8	Strain rate (%/hr)		0.4			
Pore pressure	coefficient, B	1.00						



Performed By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	November 24, 2014	Date:	November 25, 2014	Date:	November 26, 2014

q (kPa)

Geosciences

## **MEG TECHNICAL SERVICES**

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)



#### (ASTM D 4767)

-							
Project:	Mount Polley Inc	lependent Expert Engineering Investig	ation and Re	eview Panel	Project No.: 1	4-MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	ilings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	November 24, 2014		
Borehole:	MR14-106G			Depth (m):	8.04		
Sample No.:	Sa2B						
Initial Height (mm):	84.3	Initial Water Content (%):	38.49	Fi	nal Water Content (%):	38.37	
Initial Diameter (mm):	35.7	Initial Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	17.76	Final Tota	al Unit Weight (kN/m³):	19.8	
Weight of Specimen (g):	152.40	Initial Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	12.82	Final Dr	ry Unit Weight (kN/m³):	14.3	
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>i</sub> :	1.18		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>i</sub> :	1.06	



0.00

p' (kPa)



° q

• Excess Pore Pressure

Comments: Sample consolidated in three stages (200kPa, 400kPa and 600kPa)

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	November 24, 2014	Date:	November 25, 2014	Date:	November 26, 2014

Appendix E Attachment 5 Direct Simple Shear Test Data

#### Panel Direct Simple Shear Test Data Summary

Sample	Sample Depth (m)	Sample Elevation (m)	Oedometer sig' p (kPa)	w/c (%)	PI	Initial Confining Stress (kPa)	OCR	Initial Shear Stress (kPa)	Shear Bias	Peak Undrained Strength Ratio	Strain at Peak Strength	Undrained Strength Ratio at 20% Strain	Inferred Soil Unit	Notes
14-106A-Sa1C-T1	7.6	921.2	442	43	31	600	1.00	0	0%	0.22	10%	0.18	Upper GLU	Sample partially disturbed due to sampling overlap with field vane
14-106A-Sa1C-T2	7.6	921.1	442	37	31	600	1.00	60	10%	0.23	small		Upper GLU	
14-106A-Sa1C-T3	7.6	921.1	442	38	31	600	1.00	120	20%	0.26	small	0.22	Upper GLU	
14-106A-Sa1C-T4	7.7	921.1	442	33	31	300	1.47	60	20%	0.28	5%	0.25	Upper GLU	
14-106C-Sa1B-T1	7.4	921.2	454	44	33	600	1.00	180	30%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Upper GLU	Sample sheared when attempting to apply shear bias
14-106C-Sa1B-T2	7.3	921.2	454	43	33	600	1.00	150	25%	0.27	1%	0.15	Upper GLU	
14-106C-Sa1B-T3	7.3	921.2	454	39	33	600	1.00	60	10%	0.21	8%	0.17	Upper GLU	
14-106G-Sa2B-T1	7.8	920.9	510	44	21	600	1.00	60	10%	0.21	7%	0.17	Upper GLU	
14-106G-SaB-T2	8.1	920.6	510	38	21	600	1.00	120	20%	0.26	small	0.22	Upper GLU	
14-107-Sa6C-T1	7.1	921.5	330	44	23	300	1.10	30	10%	0.27	5%	0.22	Upper GLU	
14-107-Sa6C-T2	7.2	921.5	330	43	23	300	1.10	30	10%	0.25	6%	0.20	Upper GLU	
14-107A-Sa1A-T1	7.4	920.9	516	43	34	600	1.00	0	0%	0.21	9%	0.15	Upper GLU	
14-107A-Sa1A-T2	7.3	921.0	516	42	34	600	1.00	0	0%	0.20	9%	0.18	Upper GLU	
14-107A-Sa7	12.0	916 3	701	22	15	600	12	0	0%	0.30	10%	0.25	Lower Tills	
14 10/74 50/	12.0	510.5	701	22	15	000	1.2	0	070	0.50	1076	0.25	(Lower GLU)	
14-109-Sa6B	12.4	916.6	750	22	13	300	2.5	30	10%	0.42	7%	0.35	Lower Tills	
11105 5005		510.0	,50		10	500	2.0	50	10/0	0112	776	0.05	(Lower GLU)	
14-110-Sa6C	12.4	916 3	794	21	15	300	2.6	30	10%	0.27	7%	0.22	Lower Tills	
											.,		(Lower GLU)	
								L						
14-113-Sa4B	6.5	922.9	380	13	7	300	1.3	30	10%	0.43	N/A	0.49	Upper Till	Undefined peak. Draft results

Average Upper GLU (no shear bias)	0.21	9%	0.17
Average Upper GLU (10% shear bias)	0.23	5%	0.19
Average Upper GLU (>=20% shear bias)	0.27	3%	0.21
Average Upper GLU (all data)	0.24	7%	0.19

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Inc	dependent Expert Engineering Investigati	w Panel	Project No.: 14-M	MTS-021		
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC			November 4, 2014		
Borehole:	MR14-106A	MR14-106A			7.58		
Sample No.:	Sa1C - T1	Sa1C - T1		Station:	DSS1		
Initial Height (mm):	23.3	Weight of Specimen (g):	180.90		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	1.22	
Diameter of Ring (mm):	73.3	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	18.06		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.93	
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	12.62	Na	atural Water Content (%):	43.1	
Final Water Content (%):	32.4	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	



Marine + Earth

Geosciences

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Revie	Project No.: 14-MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC	Date:	November 4, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-106A	Depth (m):	7.58
Sample No.:	Sa1C - T1	Station:	DSS1

#### Sample Pictures





Marine + Earth

T

Geosciences

 $\mathbf{N}$ 

Sample after test. Location of possible lab vane visible in the middle section of the sample. The top portion odf the sample was stuck to the top porous stone and revealed the lab vane test location. MEG was unaware a vane test was performed at the test location.

Sample in ring assembly after shear





Cross section of the sample after the test was completed. Lamination of sample visiblte.

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	November 10, 2014	Date:	November 10, 2014	Date:	November 11, 2014

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Ind	ependent Expert Engineering Investigati	ew Panel	Project No.: 14-M	/ITS-021		
Location:	Mount Polley Tai	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC			November 19, 2014		
Borehole:	MR14-106A			Depth (m):	7.61		
Sample No.:	Sa1C - T2		Station:	DSS1			
Initial Height (mm):	23.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	182.86		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	1.10	
Diameter of Ring (mm):	73.2	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	18.21		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.84	
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	13.34	Na	tural Water Content (%):	36.6	
Final Water Content (%):	30.7	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	95.1	Final Degr	ee of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	



Marine + Earth

Geosciences

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Review Panel P		Project No.:	14-MTS-021
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC	Date:	Novem	ber 19, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-106A	Depth (m):		7.61
Sample No.:	Sa1C - T2	Station:		DSS1

Marine + Earth

Ť

Geosciences

M

#### Sample Pictures



Cross section of the sample after the test was completed. Lamination of sample visiblte.

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	November 19, 2014	Date:	November 19, 2014	Date:	November 20, 2014

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Ind	ependent Expert Engineering Investigati	w Panel	Project No.: 14-N	/ITS-021		
Location:	Mount Polley Tai	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC			November 20, 2014		
Borehole:	MR14-106A	MR14-106A			7.64		
Sample No.:	Sa1C - T3		Station:	DSS1			
Initial Height (mm):	23.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	181.51		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	1.13	
Diameter of Ring (mm):	73.0	Total Unit Weight (kN/m³):	18.16		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.85	
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m³):	13.14	Na	atural Water Content (%):	38.2	
Final Water Content (%):	34.4	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	96.6	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	



Marine + Earth

Geosciences

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley In	dependent Expert Engineering Investig	view Panel	Project No.: 14-	MTS-021		
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC			Date:	November 27, 2014		
Borehole:	MR14-106A			Depth (m):	7.67		
Sample No.:	Sa1C - T4 S		Station:	DSS1			
Initial Height (mm):	20.0	Weight of Specimen (g):	153.39		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	1.07	
Diameter of Ring (mm):	73.0	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	18.01		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.90	
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	13.53	Na	atural Water Content (%):	33.1	
Final Water Content (%):	36.6	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	88.4	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	> 100	



1 E G

Marine + Earth
(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Inc	dependent Expert Engineering Investig	gation and Re	evieProject No.:	14-MTS-	021	
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	illings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	November 2	8, 2014	
Borehole:	MR14-106C			Depth (m):	7		
Sample No.:	Sa1B - T3			Station:	Static D	SS	
Initial Height (mm):	22.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	134.68		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	-	
Diameter of Ring (mm):	66.5	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	16.99		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	-	
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	11.84	Natu	ral Water Content (%):	43.6	
Final Water Content (%):	-	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	91.2	Final Degre	e of Saturation, Sr (%):	-	



Marine + Earth **E** Geosciences

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

		· /				
Project:	Mount Polley In	dependent Expert Engineering Investig	pation and Re	vieProject No.:	14-MTS-(	021
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	ailings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	November 28	8, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-106C			Depth (m):	7.31	
Sample No.:	Sa1B - T2			Station:	Static D	SS
Initial Height (mm):	22.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	138.47		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	1.29
Diameter of Ring (mm):	66.5	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	17.47		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.88
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	12.20	N	atural Water Content (%):	43.2
Final Water Content (%):	34.9	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	95.3	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100



Marine + Earth MEG

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley In	dependent Expert Engineering Investig	gation and Re	vieProject No.:	14-MTS-	.021
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	ailings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	November 2	8, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-106C			Depth (m):	7.34	
Sample No.:	Sa1B - T3			Station:	DSS	1
Initial Height (mm):	23.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	178.02		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	1.18
Diameter of Ring (mm):	73.0	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	17.83		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.94
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	12.83	Na	atural Water Content (%):	38.9
Final Water Content (%):	34.9	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	94.1	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100



Marine + Earth **M** E Geosciences

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Ind	ependent Expert Engineering Investigati	Project No.: 14-M	1TS-021		
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	lings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	November 1	8, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-106G			Depth (m):	7.84	
Sample No.:	Sa2B - T1			Station:	DSS2	2
Initial Height (mm):	20.3	Weight of Specimen (g):	181.24		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	0.92
Diameter of Ring (mm):	73.0	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	20.93		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.71
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	14.59	Na	tural Water Content (%):	43.5
Final Water Content (%):	35.9	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100



Marine + Earth

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Revi	Project No.: 14-MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC	Date:	November 18, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-106G	Depth (m):	7.84
Sample No.:	Sa2B - T1	Station:	DSS2

Marine + Earth

М

E Geosciences

### Sample Pictures



Cross section of the sample after the test was completed. Lamination of sample visiblte.

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	November 18, 2014	Date:	November 18, 2014	Date:	November 19, 2014

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley In	dependent Expert Engineering Investig	pation and Re	view Panel	Project No.: 14-	MTS-021
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	ailings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	November	27, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-106G			Depth (m):	8.13	3
Sample No.:	Sa2b - T2			Station:	DSS	62
Initial Height (mm):	23.5	Weight of Specimen (g):	181.04		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	1.16
Diameter of Ring (mm):	73.4	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	17.87		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.94
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	12.92	Na	atural Water Content (%):	38.3
Final Water Content (%):	28.7	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	93.8	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	87.5



(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Inc	Nount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Review Panel				/ITS-021
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	ilings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	November 4	4, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-107			Depth (m):	7.14	
Sample No.:	Sa 6C			Station:	DSS	1
Initial Height (mm):	23.5	Weight of Specimen (g):	178.49		Initial Void Ratio, eo:	1.27
Diameter of Ring (mm):	73.2	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	17.70		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	1.08
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m³):	12.33	Na	tural Water Content (%):	43.5
Final Water Content (%):	38.7	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	97.8	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100



Marine + Earth

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Revi	Project No.: 14-MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC	Date:	November 4, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-107	Depth (m):	7.14
Sample No.:	Sa 6C	Station:	DSS1

Marine + Earth

Ġ

Geosciences

Λ

### Sample Pictures



Sample in ring assembly after shear



Cross section of the sample after the test was completed. Lamination of sample visiblte.

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	November 4, 2014	Date:	November 4, 2014	Date:	November 5, 2014

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

#### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Ind	ependent Expert Engineering Investigati	ew Panel	Project No.: 14-	MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Tai	lings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	November	7, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-107			Depth (m):	7.17	,
Sample No.:	Sa 6C - T2			Station:	DSS	1
Initial Height (mm):	23.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	174.06		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	1.31
Diameter of Ring (mm):	72.9	Total Unit Weight (kN/m³):	17.44		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	1.13
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.89	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	12.23	Na	tural Water Content (%):	42.6
Final Water Content (%):	42.3	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	93.5	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100



Marine + Earth MEG Geosciences

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Review Panel Pr			14-MTS-021
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC	Date:	Noven	nber 7, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-107	Depth (m):		7.17
Sample No.:	Sa 6C - T2	Station:		DSS1

Marine + Earth

G

Geosciences

M

### Sample Pictures



Cross section of the sample after the test was completed. Lamination of sample visiblte.

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	November 7, 2014	Date:	November 7, 2014	Date:	November 8, 2014

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Inc	dependent Expert Engineering Investig	eview Panel	Project No.:	14-MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	illings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	Novemb	er 10, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-107A			Depth (m):	7	7.23
Sample No.:	Sa 1A - T1			Station:	[	DSS
Initial Height (mm):	22.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	154.83		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	1.04
Diameter of Ring (mm):	66.5	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	19.54		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.94
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	13.69	Na	atural Water Content (%):	42.7
Final Water Content (%):	35.1	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100



Marine + Earth **M** E Geosciences

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Revi	Project No.: 14-MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC	Date:	November 10, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-107A	Depth (m):	7.23
Sample No.:	Sa 1A - T1	Station:	DSS

### Sample Pictures



Groove patern on the top surface of the sample



Cross section of the sample after the test was completed

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	November 10, 2014	Date:	November 10, 2014	Date:	November 11, 2014



(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley In	dependent Expert Engineering Investig	view Panel	Project No.: 14	4-MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	ailings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	Novembe	r 20, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-107A			Depth (m):	7.	26
Sample No.:	Sa 1A - T2			Station:	D	SS
Initial Height (mm):	22.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	156.49		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	1.01
Diameter of Ring (mm):	66.5	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	19.75		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.91
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.85	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	13.90	N	atural Water Content (%):	42.1
Final Water Content (%):	41.0	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100



Marine + Earth E Geosciences

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Review Panel P			14-MTS-021
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC	Date:	Novem	nber 20, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-107A	Depth (m):		7.26
Sample No.:	Sa 1A - T2	Station:		DSS

Marine + Earth

M

EG

### Sample Pictures



Cross section of the sample after the test was completed

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	November 20, 2014	Date:	November 20, 2014	Date:	November 21, 2014

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Revie			eview Panel	Project No .:	14-MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Ta	ailings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	October 31, 2014		
Borehole:	MR14-107A			Depth (m):		11.96	
Sample No.:	Sa 7		Station:	DSS			
Initial Height (mm):	22.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	164.61		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	0.59	
Diameter of Ring (mm):	66.5	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	20.77		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.51	
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.75	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	16.99	Na	atural Water Content (%):	22.2	
Final Water Content (%):	21.8	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	



L Geosciences

Marine + Earth

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley In	dependent Expert Engineering Investig	eview Panel	Project No.:	14-MTS-021		
Location:	Mount Polley T	ailings Storage Facility, BC		Date:	October 31, 2014		
Borehole:	MR14-109			Depth (m):	1	2.42	
Sample No.:	Sa 6B			Station:	Ε	DSS	
Initial Height (mm):	22.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	164.61		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	0.59	
Diameter of Ring (mm):	66.5	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	20.77		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.51	
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.75	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	16.99	Na	atural Water Content (%):	22.2	
Final Water Content (%):	21.8	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	



A E Geosciences

Marine + Earth

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Revie	Project No.: 14-MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC	Date:	October 31, 2014
Borehole:	MR14-109	Depth (m):	12.42
Sample No.:	Sa 6B	Station:	DSS

#### Sample Pictures



Partial slip along the sample-porous stone interface. Porous stone is level with the bottom ring



Marine + Earth

 $\mathbf{N}$ 

G

Geosciences

Groove patern on the top surface of the sample



Cross section of the sample after the test was completed. possible lamination present.

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	October 31, 2014	Date:	October 31, 2014	Date:	November 3, 2014

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley In	dependent Expert Engineering Investig	eview Panel	Project No.:	14-MTS-021		
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC			Date:	October 31, 2014		
Borehole:	MR14-110			Depth (m):		2.47	
Sample No.:	Sa 6C			Station:		DSS	
Initial Height (mm):	22.4	Weight of Specimen (g):	143.97		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	0.80	
Diameter of Ring (mm):	66.5	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	18.17		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.71	
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.75	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	14.98	Na	atural Water Content (%):	21.2	
Final Water Content (%):	22.4	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	73.0	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	86.9	



Marine + Earth M E G Geosciences

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Review Panel		Project No.:	14-MTS-021
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC	Date:	October 31, 2014	
Borehole:	MR14-110	Depth (m):		12.47
Sample No.:	Sa 6C	Station:		DSS

### Sample Pictures



Groove patern on the top surface of the sample



Cross section of the sample after the test was completed.

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	October 31, 2014	Date:	October 31, 2014	Date:	November 2, 2014



(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Ir	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Review Panel				-MTS-021
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC			Date:	October 31, 2014	
Borehole:	MR14-113			Depth (m):	6.57	
Sample No.:	Sa 4B			Station:	DSS1	
Initial Height (mm):	23.5	Weight of Specimen (g):	227.90		Initial Void Ratio, e <sub>o</sub> :	0.35
Diameter of Ring (mm):	73.4	Total Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	22.53		Final Void Ratio, e <sub>f</sub> :	0.31
Specific Gravity, Gs:	2.75	Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> ):	20.04	Na	atural Water Content (%):	12.5
Final Water Content (%):	12.2	Initial Degree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100	Final Deg	ree of Saturation, Sr (%):	>100



Marine + Earth **M** E Geosciences

(A Division of MEG Consulting Limited)

### DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST (ASTM D 6528)

Project:	Mount Polley Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Revie	Project No.:	14-MTS-021	
Location:	Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility, BC	Date:	October 31, 2014	
Borehole:	MR14-113	Depth (m):		6.57
Sample No.:	Sa 4B	Station:		DSS1

#### Sample Pictures



Partial slip along the sample-porous stone interface. Porous stone is level with the third ring from the bottom.

Groove patern on the top surface of the sample

Marine + Earth

Ť

Geosciences

Л



Cross section of the sample after the test was completed. Fine gravel particle present.

Groove patern on the bottom surface of the sample. Grooves show tearing from slip along the interface. Missing sample from goove patern was stuck to the porous stone.

Prepared By:	MF	Checked By:	PS	Approved By:	JPS
Date:	October 31, 2014	Date:	October 31, 2014	Date:	October 31, 2014