

Narynski, Heather M MEM:EX

From: Narynski, Heather M EMNG:EX
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 10:44 AM
To: Warnock, George EMNG:EX
Cc: Howe, Diane J EMNG:EX
Subject: RE: Mt Polley| Haul Road and PAG Dump amendment

Thanks George,

To clarify, my understanding is that the OMS isn't available even as a digital file (Luke indicated it was piece-meal in different places and needed to be compiled in one spot). I agree that we wouldn't require a bound report.

I can follow-up again with Luke and discuss whether there is an easier way for them to submit what they currently have. I'm thinking they are still in the process of "updating".

-H

From: Warnock, George EMNG:EX
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 10:37 AM
To: Narynski, Heather M EMNG:EX
Cc: Howe, Diane J EMNG:EX
Subject: RE: Mt Polley| Haul Road and PAG Dump amendment

Thanks Heather,

As you know, the OMS is intended primarily for use by the mining company. It's secondary purpose is for compliance with Section 10.5.2 of the Code. If you like, you could let Luke know that EMNG would be satisfied with an electronic version of their on-line document, or a simple print-out. We don't necessarily need a bound report unless that is what they are planning to do anyway.

George

From: Narynski, Heather M EMNG:EX
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 10:30 AM
To: Warnock, George EMNG:EX; Howe, Diane J EMNG:EX
Subject: RE: Mt Polley| Haul Road and PAG Dump amendment

Hi George, Diane,

I will proceed with waiting for the OMS and submit permit conditions in a separate TSF amendment.

FYI, Luke indicated that Mt. Polley's OMS is online and needs to be compiled into a "report format" document.

-H

From: Warnock, George EMNG:EX
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 8:57 AM
To: Narynski, Heather M EMNG:EX
Cc: Howe, Diane J EMNG:EX
Subject: RE: Mt Polley| Haul Road and PAG Dump amendment

Hi Heather,

I am OK either way as well, but it probably makes sense to wait for the OMS. I see that the 2011 as-built report recommended that the OMS be updated, and the Stage 8 permit amendment included a condition that required the update in 2012. Luke Moger is usually pretty good at getting back to us so I'm not sure what the reason is for the non-response.

George

From: Narynski, Heather M EMNG:EX
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 8:48 AM
To: Howe, Diane J EMNG:EX; Warnock, George EMNG:EX
Subject: RE: Mt Polley| Haul Road and PAG Dump amendment

Hi Diane, George,

I have reviewed the documentation associated with the dam raise at Mt. Polley. I have had an email drafted up for a few weeks now, but am waiting on Mt. Polley to send their updated OMS. I have sent several emails requesting this information and anticipate it arriving shortly. They are aware that EMNG is waiting on this information to permit the dam raise. If it is preferred to include this information in one amendment, I could compile the permit conditions with an additional condition for OMS submission by a specified date. However, the current route does provide us with more leverage for receiving this report (without having to continuously pursue).

Please let me know your preference.

H

From: Howe, Diane J EMNG:EX
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 7:08 AM
To: Warnock, George EMNG:EX; McConnachie, Jennifer EMNG:EX
Cc: Narynski, Heather M EMNG:EX; Demchuk, Tania EMNG:EX
Subject: Mt Polley| Haul Road and PAG Dump amendment

Hi both,

Looks like we are on our final stretch here for the MT Polley Haul Road amendment. I quickly read over the requests/responses you have been having with the company regarding the application and it looks like you are both are satisfied +/- with the proposal, however I am now wondering about permit conditions. I am hoping to have a draft together for your trip Jen with Tania so if you could please provide me with any conditions that would be great.

George I assume you want to have the TSF amendment done up separately once Heather is finished?

Thanks,

Regards, Diane

Diane Howe

Deputy Chief Inspector, Reclamation and Permitting
Ministry of Energy, Mines and Natural Gas
Victoria, BC
(250) 952-0183

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

