

From: Rose, Nick EMPR:EX
Sent: November 6, 2006 10:00 AM
To: 'Tim Fisch'
Cc: Carr, Chris A EMPR:EX; Milligan, Bruce EMPR:EX; nrose@piteau.com
Subject: RE: Inspection Report for August 30, 2006 Geotechnical Inspection

Tim,

Thank-you for the copy of your written response letter dated October 25, 2006 to my September 22, 2006 geotechnical inspection report.

For the East and West Wall instabilities in the Bell Pit, you indicated that the prisms and extensometers are being monitored daily and that daily velocities are calculated, plotted and compared to the average for each prism/extensometer. You then indicate that any readings greater than 150% of the average velocity for that prism/extensometer will be re-surveyed, replotted and if the reading is confirmed, the foreman will be notified to move the equipment away from the face until daily readings have returned to "normal" and the wall has been deemed safe. Please provide further clarification of the threshold monitoring criteria that are being implemented for the East and West Wall prisms and wireline extensometers in the Bell Pit, as per the following questions:

How are the "average" movement rates being calculated for each prism/extensometer (i.e., is the average a cumulative average or is it an incremental average over a certain number of days)?

What happens if accelerations are noticed in the data (i.e., do you still apply a threshold of 150% above the average velocity, if the velocity and average velocity are increasing)?

Are threshold response criteria being implemented based on set threshold velocity values?

The other responses that you provided for the TSF, Bell Dump, Northeast Zone Dump and Wight Pit adequately answer the points identified in my September 22, 2006 inspection report. Please contact me by email or by phone at 604-986-8551 if you have any questions.

Regards,

Nick Rose, P.Eng.
Inspector of Mines, Geotechnical

-----Original Message-----

From: Tim Fisch [mailto:tfisch@mountpolley.com]
Sent: Monday, November 06, 2006 7:44 AM
To: Rose, Nick EMPR:EX
Cc: Carr, Chris A EMPR:EX; Milligan, Bruce EMPR:EX; nrose@piteau.com
Subject: RE: Inspection Report for August 30, 2006 Geotechnical Inspection

Nick : As indicated in our conversation November 3, please find attached a copy of the response forwarded to both Chris Carr and Bruce Milligan on October 6, 2006. Please feel free to contact me if you have any further questions.

Regards
Tim Fisch
General Manager
Mount Polley Mininf Corporation.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rose, Nick EMPR:EX [mailto:Nick.Rose@gov.bc.ca]
Sent: 03 Nov, 06 12:47 PM
To: Tim Fisch
Cc: Carr, Chris A EMPR:EX; Milligan, Bruce EMPR:EX; nrose@piteau.com

Subject: FW: Inspection Report for August 30, 2006 Geotechnical Inspection

Tim,

Written responses are required for my September 22, 2006 geotechnical inspection report for the August 30 inspection of the Mount Polley Mine. I am forwarding the original email with attachments that was sent to you on September 22, 2006. I will call you to confirm that you have received this email.

Regards,

Nick Rose, P.Eng.
Inspector of Mines, Geotechnical

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rose, Nick EMPR:EX
> Sent: Friday, September 22, 2006 2:18 PM
> To: 'tfisch@mountpolley.com'
> Cc: Carr, Chris A EMPR:EX; Milligan, Bruce EMPR:EX;
> 'nrose@piteau.com'
> Subject: Inspection Report for August 30, 2006 Geotechnical
Inspection
>
> Tim:
>
> Please find the attached cover letter and inspection report for the
August 30, 2006 geotechnical inspection of the Mount Polley Mine. If you have any
questions, please contact me at 604-986-8551.
>
> Regards,
>
> Nick Rose
> Inspector of Mines, Geotechnical
>
> > <<13437_GEOTECH_INSP_COVER_LETTER_INSP.pdf>> > >
> > <<13437_geotech_insp_report.pdf>>